

3-1-2010

Effect of TENS on cognition, behavior and memory in normal elderly persons

A Treekittichai

S. Aksaranugraha

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.car.chula.ac.th/clmjjournal>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Treekittichai, A and Aksaranugraha, S. (2010) "Effect of TENS on cognition, behavior and memory in normal elderly persons," *Chulalongkorn Medical Journal*: Vol. 54: Iss. 2, Article 4.

Available at: <https://digital.car.chula.ac.th/clmjjournal/vol54/iss2/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chulalongkorn Journal Online (CUJO) at Chula Digital Collections. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chulalongkorn Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Chula Digital Collections. For more information, please contact ChulaDC@car.chula.ac.th.

Effect of TENS on cognition, behavior and memory in normal elderly persons

Apinya Treekittichai*

Sek Aksaranugraha**

Treekittichai A, Aksaranugraha S. Effect of TENS on cognition, behavior and memory in normal elderly persons. Chula Med J 2010 Mar - Apr; 54(2): 125 - 37

- Objective** : *To study the effect of TENS over the temporal areas regarding cognition, behavior and memory in normal elderly persons.*
- Design** : *Experimental study with control group.*
- Materials and Method** : *Normal elderly subjects age 50 years and over were recruited in the study. They were either allocated into experimental and control group. All patients of both groups were stimulated with TENS on both sides of the temporal areas for 10 min per day, 5 days a week for 3 weeks. The control group received sham stimulation at the same site of stimulation on their head. The Thai Adaptation of the ABCD was applied before and after the test.*
- Results** : *Thirty-six elderly subjects (ranging 52 - 84 years old) were either allocated into experimental and control group of 23 and 13 subjects, respectively. There was no statistical difference between pretest and post-test of ABCD scores in the control group, but there was in the experimental group only in three constructions, namely: mental status, memory and linguistic expression.*

* Division of Speech Therapy, Thai Red Cross Rehabilitation Center, Samut Prakarn province

** Director of Thai Red Cross Rehabilitation Center

Conclusions : *Cognitive and behavior functions and recent memory could be improve by TENS on the temporal areas.*

Keywords : *TENS, Memory, Affective behaviors.*

Reprint request: Treekittichai A. Division of Speech Therapy, Thai Red Cross Rehabilitation Center, Samut Prakarn province.

Received for publication. July 2, 2009.

อภิญา ตรีกิตติชัย, เสก อักษรานุเคราะห์. ผลของการใช้ TENS ต่อความคิดความเข้าใจ
พฤติกรรมและความจำในคนปกติและสูงอายุ. จุฬาลงกรณ์เวชสาร 2552 มี.ค. - เม.ย.; 54(2):
125 - 37

วัตถุประสงค์ : เพื่อศึกษาผลของการใช้ TENS กระตุ้นบริเวณขมับทั้งสองข้างต่อ ความคิด
ความเข้าใจ พฤติกรรมและความจำในคนปกติและสูงอายุ

รูปแบบการวิจัย : การศึกษาชนิดทดลองโดยมีกลุ่มควบคุม

ตัวอย่างและวิธีการ : ทำการวิจัยในผู้สูงอายุที่มีอายุมากกว่า 50 ปีขึ้นไป โดยจัดแบ่งออกเป็น
กลุ่มตัวอย่างและกลุ่มควบคุมแบบสุ่ม โดยกลุ่มทดลองจะได้รับการกระตุ้น
ไฟด้วยเครื่อง TENS วันละ 10 นาที 5 ครั้งต่อสัปดาห์นาน 3 สัปดาห์ กลุ่ม
ควบคุมจะได้รับการติดเครื่องมือ TENS แต่ไม่เปิดไฟ (SHAM) โดยผู้สูงอายุ
ทั้งสองกลุ่มจะผ่านการทำแบบทดสอบอะริโซนาเพื่อวัดความสามารถใน
การสื่อความหมายของผู้ป่วยสมองเสื่อม (ABCD) ก่อนและหลังการใช้
เครื่อง กระตุ้นไฟ TENS

ผลการศึกษา : ผู้สูงอายุ 36 คนมีอายุตั้งแต่ 52 - 84 ปี แบ่งเป็นกลุ่มควบคุม 13 คน และ
กลุ่มทดลอง 23 คน ในกลุ่มควบคุมไม่พบความแตกต่างของคะแนน ABCD
ก่อนและหลังการทดสอบอย่างมีนัยสำคัญในทุกหัวข้อย่อยของแบบทดสอบ
แต่จะพบการเพิ่มขึ้นอย่างมีนัยสำคัญทางสถิติของคะแนน ABCD หลังการ
กระตุ้น TENS ในกลุ่มทดลองทั้ง 3 ด้าน คือ ด้านสภาพสมองปกติ
ด้านความจำ และด้านการแสดงออกทางภาษา

สรุปผล : การใช้ TENS กระตุ้นที่ขมับทั้งสองข้างมีผลทำให้ความคิด ความเข้าใจ
และพฤติกรรม รวมทั้งความจำในระยะสั้นดีขึ้นในผู้สูงอายุ

คำสำคัญ : เครื่องไฟฟ้า TENS, ความจำ, พฤติกรรม.

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in age-related changes with cognitive function in adults. Dementia is an acquired syndrome of the elderly. Some investigators have reported the presence of cognitive-linguistic impairment among elderly individuals with dementia. Increased longevity without continued cognitive ability interferes with work, social activities and/or relationships with others.

Dementia or chronic brain syndrome or lewy body dementia (DLB) or vascular dementia is a loss of brain function. It is not a single disease. Instead, dementia refers to a group of illness that involves memory, behavior, learning and communicating problem. ⁽¹⁾

The two major causes of degenerative dementia are Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia.

Dementia with DLB is a leading cause of degenerative dementia in elderly adults. This condition is linked to abnormal protein structures in certain areas of the brain. Conditions that damage blood vessels or nerve structures of the brain can also lead to dementia.

Dementia usually occurs in older age, mostly over the age of 60. Symptoms of dementia come from many problems of brain functions including, i.e., progressive memory loss, inability to concentrate, decrease in problem solving skills, confusion, hallucination, delusion, altered sensation or perception, impaired recognition (agnosia), discrimination, motor system impairment, specific disorders of problems solving or learning, impaired language ability, personality changes and lack of spontaneity. ⁽²⁾

In previous studies, transcutaneous nerve stimulation (TENS) was found to improve memory (recognition), semantic verbal fluency and independent and affective behaviors in patients with probable Alzheimer's disease (AD).^(3,4) Moreover, TENS has no side effect; it is therefore perceived by patients as a type of neutral, friendly stimulation, easy to apply, except those with a pacemaker (Howson 1978). It seems completely safe.^(4,5) The rationale underlying these studies was that TENS, through direct and indirect pathways, could activate the hippocampus and hypothalamus which play a role in memory and independent and affective behavior, respectively. ^(6 - 9) These areas are also affected in normal aging process. ^(9,10) The neuropathological hallmark of both AD and aging is brain atrophy. ^(9,11,12) Importantly, brain atrophy is characterized by shrinkage of cells rather than cell death; shrunken cells may still be subject to plastic changes.^(9,12) Swaab hypothesizes that adaptive growth responses and regenerative processes could result from stimulation of the neuronal systems. ^(9,12)

This hypothesis was proved by applying short-term TENS over Th1 and Th5 and repeated a positive effect on verbal long-term memory (recognition) as well as on visual short-term (visual memory) and long-term memory (face recognition). ^(3, 13)

Sensory evoked potentials are subcortical and cortical post-synaptic action potentials resulted from the stimulation of the peripheral sensory organs. However, they are very small in amplitude, less than 10 microvolts, but can be picked up by scalp electrodes. ⁽¹⁴⁾ Vice versa scalp superficial electrical stimulation would be able to stimulate the brain.

Direct electrical stimulation to the brain was applied for the treatment of some brain disease.⁽¹⁵⁾ There was a report of effectiveness of repetitive electrical stimulation direct on the temporal and frontal lobes to produce depolarization of the brain cells.⁽¹⁶⁾

It was proved that direct electrical stimulation testing did not appear to cause structural damage of the brain at the light microscope level.⁽¹⁷⁾

A compact TENS used in this study was built with specification of square wave current, 2 - 160 Hz frequency, 50 - 250 μ sec width, 50 volts and 130 mA which proved to be effective in the treatment of mild OA knee.⁽¹⁸⁾

Early diagnosis is essential in order to detect cognitive deficits and to develop an effective treatment before the symptoms of dementia progress. The cognitive impairments associated with dementia can be measured by many tests such as the Blessed Dementia Scale⁽¹⁹⁾, Mini-mental Status Exam⁽²⁰⁾, or Cambridge Cognitive Examination.⁽²¹⁾ These tests can reveal cognitive impairment but have some limitations in measuring dementia in patients with physical disability such as visual loss or focal cognitive deficits such as aphasia.⁽²²⁾ A variety of language and communication tests have been designed to detect language impairment due to focal brain damage such as Porch Index of Communicative Ability⁽²³⁾, Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination⁽²⁴⁾, Token Test⁽²⁵⁾, and Western Aphasia Battery.⁽²⁶⁾ Although standard aphasia batteries can be useful and informative, these battery tests may be inappropriate and inadequate for patients with dementia whose communication deficits are often directly related to generalized intellectual deficits.⁽²⁷⁾

The only standardized test designed to identify functional linguistic communicative deficits associated with Alzheimer's dementia, the most common type of dementia, is the Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia (ABCD).⁽²⁸⁾ The ABCD was developed by Bayles and Tomoeda in 1993. This test was developed to comprehensively assess functional linguistic communication skills. It is based on longitudinal studies of the effects of dementia such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease and Huntington's disease on linguistic communication. It was designed to measure receptive and expressive language deficits, orientation, and memory of patients with dementia.^(22, 28 - 31) The ABCD subtests were found to highly correlate with three widely accepted measures of dementia severity^(30,32) such as the Mini-mental State Examination⁽²⁰⁾, the Block Design Subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale⁽³³⁾, and the Global Deterioration Scale.⁽³⁴⁾ The ABCD test is a reliable instrument for measuring Alzheimer's dementia characteristics because it is able to differentiate normal people from mild Alzheimer's disease, and those with mild AD from those with moderate AD.^(28,30,35)

Objective

This study is to prove whether this compact TENS applied over the skin of the temporal areas might be able to improve the cognition and behavior in the elderly persons.

Subject and Method

The sample consisted of elderly subjects aging 50 years and over. All subjects were excluded

from the program if they had history of psychiatric disorder, cerebrovascular disease, infection, neurological illness, alcoholism, language disorders and drug abuse.

TENS stimulation

In the both groups, two electrodes with gel were attached to both sides of the temporal area of the patients and fixed the two electrodes with tape. This TENS which consists of asymmetric biphasic square impulses, with an internal frequency of 160 Hz, a repetition 2 Hz, and a pulse width of 40 μ s. The experimental group received a 10 min- a-day treatment with TENS through two electrodes placed on both sides of the temporal area. The control group received sham stimulation (no current was applied) at the same site of stimulation. TENS was applied for 5 days a week, during a 3-week period in both groups.

Measurement

All volunteers spoke and literate Thai language. They were required to pass the ABCD screening part which assessed their hearing and speech discrimination problems, visual field intactness, visual agnosia, elementary reading and facial and limb praxis by using Apraxia subtest of the Western Aphasia Battery.⁽²⁶⁾

The recruited volunteers were either allocated into experimental group of 23 subjects or control group of 13 subjects. Both the experimental and control groups were tested by the Thai Adaptation of the ABCD.⁽³⁵⁾ Subjects were informed about the testing procedure. While the Thai Adaptation of the ABCD was being administered, the responses of the subjects were recorded and scored. All testing

materials were performed by all subjects on the day before and the day after a period of 3 weeks of treatment by experimental and sham stimulations.

The testing materials used in this study are as follows:

The Thai Adaptation of the ABCD which consists of test manual, stimulus book, response record sheet, and the stimulus objects: an envelope and a nail.

The manual and some items content from some subtests of the Thai Adaptation of ABCD were adapted from the Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia (ABCD). The items were changed according to the nature of the Thai language and culture. Twenty of fifty AD patients in the standardization study were checked for consistency of performance between the test and retest. The retest was given approximately a week after an initial testing based on the fact that dementia would not change within a week.⁽²⁸⁾

The ABCD was developed to assess the function of linguistic communication skills. This test provides information about mental status, episodic memory, linguistic expression, linguistic comprehension and visuospatial construction. The test battery consisted of 17 subtests: mental status subtest, story retelling immediate subtest, story retelling delayed subtest, word learning free recall subtest, word learning cued recall subtest, word learning recognition subtest, object description subtest, generative naming subtest, confrontation naming subtest, concept definition subtest, following commands subtest, comparative questions subtest, repetition subtest, reading comprehension-word subtest, reading comprehension-sentence subtest,

generative drawing subtest and figure copying subtest. After the responses were scored following the ABCD scoring system, the results were computed and analyzed using statistical analysis methodology.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed by SPSS program version 11.5.

The demographic and baseline data were presented by mean and standard deviation or number and percentage. Mann-Whitney U Test was used to compare baseline ABCD score between both groups. Wilcoxon signed Ranks test was used to compare the difference between pre- and post ABCD scores in both groups. A $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

There were 3 males and 10 females, ranging in age from 52 to 84 years old. They were allocated into the control group who would receive sham stimulation. Clinical control trials of 13 subjects were tested by the Thai Adaptation of the ABCD before and after the sham stimulation. There were 5 males and 18 females, ranging in age from 52 to 81 years old. They were allocated into the experimental group who would receive electrical stimulation. In the experimental group, the 23 subjects were tested by

the Thai Adaptation of the ABCD before and after electrical stimulation.

Table 1 shows demographic data of control and experimental groups. There was no statistical difference in age and sex between both groups.

Table 2 reveals means and standard deviations of raw scores of pretest between control and experimental groups of 13 subjects of each group. There were no statistical difference of raw scores of all pretests between the control and experimental groups.

Table 3 and 4 reveal means and standard deviations of each test between control and experimental groups. There were no statistical differences of all pretests and post-tests of the control group, but many tests in the experimental group show differences between pre- and post- tests.

Difference in mental status construction and episodic memory construction reveals improvement of the general memory of knowledge. Regarding the differences in episodic memory construction, 4 out of 5 subtests revealed improvement of recent memory.

The differences in linguistic expression and construction from 2 out of 4 subtests reveal improvements of expressive language. Regarding the differences in linguistic comprehension construction, 1 out of 5 subtests revealed no improvement of receptive language.

Table 1. Demographic data.

	Control group (n = 13)	Experimental group (n = 23)	P
Age	64.85 (11.47)	70.38 (4.15)	0.12
Sex (Female : Male)	10:3	18:5	0.93

Table 2. Comparisons of mean and standard deviation of raw score of pretest between control and experimental groups for 13 subjects of each group.

Subtest	Pre - Test	Pre - Test	P
	(Control group)	(Experimental group)	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Mental Status (MS)	12.23(1.01)	12.31(0.95)	0.89
Story Retelling Immediate (Sri)	10.38 (3.6)	11.00(3.65)	0.57
Word Learning: Free Recall (Wlf)	8.38 (3.88)	7.46(2.76)	0.61
Word Learning: Total recall (WLt)	14.15 (4.41)	13.46(4.22)	0.13
Word Learning: Recognition (Wlr)	46.08 (4.13)	45.38(3.88)	0.15
Story Retelling Delayed (SRd)	10.46 (3.45)	10.84(3.89)	0.40
Following Commands (FoC)	8.62 (0.51)	8.46(0.88)	0.88
Comparative Question (CQ)	5.77 (0.44)	5.31(0.75)	0.84
Repetition (R)	62.38 (9.37)	66.38(9.61)	0.08
Reading Comprehension :word (RCw)	7.46 (0.66)	7.54(0.66)	0.72
Reading Comprehension :sentence (RCs)	6.23 (1.01)	6.31(0.85)	0.93
Object Description (OD)	6.38 (1.71)	7.38(2.99)	0.47
Generative Naming Category (GN)	12.54 (3.9)	13.31(4.27)	0.52
Confrontation Naming (CN)	17.08 (3.52)	16.69(3.25)	0.42
Concept Definition (CD)	45.92 (8.16)	44.92(10.62)	0.78
Generative Drawing (GD)	13.08 (1.66)	12.77(1.88)	0.78
Figure Copying (Fic)	11.38 (0.77)	11.62(0.65)	0.41

Table 3. Comparisons of mean and standard deviation of each pretest and post-test in control group.

	Pre - Test	Post - Test	p - value
Mental Status Construction			
Mental Status	12.23 (1.01)	12.62 (0.65)	0.13
Memory Construction			
Story Retelling Immediate	10.38 (3.6)	11.46 (1.98)	0.21
Word Learning: Free Recall	8.38 (3.88)	8.77 (3.37)	0.44
Word Learning: Total recall	14.15 (4.41)	14.00 (4.38)	0.52
Word Learning: Recognition	46.08 (4.13)	45.85 (3.83)	0.55
Story Retelling Delayed	10.46 (3.45)	10.08 (3.62)	0.49

Table 3. Comparisons of mean and standard deviation of each pretest and post-test in control group (continued).

	Pre - Test	Post -Test	p - value
Linguistic Comprehension Construction			
Following Commands	8.62 (0.51)	8.85 (0.38)	0.08
Comparative Question	5.77 (0.44)	5.85 (0.38)	0.56
Repetition	62.38 (9.37)	63.31 (6.22)	0.75
Reading Comprehension: word	7.46 (0.66)	7.69 (0.48)	0.18
Reading Comprehension : sentence	6.23 (1.01)	6.08 (1.66)	0.92
Linguistic Expression Construction			
Object Description	6.38 (1.71)	6.31 (1.80)	0.67
Generative Naming Category	12.54 (3.9)	13.23 (4.05)	0.47
Confrontation Naming	17.08 (3.52)	17.23 (3.65)	0.78
Concept Definition	45.92 (8.16)	44.62 (12.02)	0.92
Visuospatial Construction			
Generative Drawing	13.08 (1.66)	13.00 (1.47)	0.66
Figure Copying	11.38 (0.77)	11.46 (0.88)	0.66

Table 4. Comparisons of mean and standard deviation of each pretest and post-test in experimental group.

	Pre - Test	Post - Test	p - value
Mental Status Construction			
Mental Status	12.48 (0.79)	12.83 (0.39)	0.01*
Memory Construction			
Story Retelling Immediate	11.09 (3.04)	13.04 (3.13)	0.001*
Word Learning: Free Recall	8.04 (2.93)	9.83 (2.82)	0.001*
Word Learning: Total recall	13.96 (3.38)	15.17 (2.53)	0.001*
Word Learning: Recognition	45.52 (3.80)	46.65 (2.17)	0.1
Story Retelling Delayed	9.96 (4.46)	12.78 (3.77)	0.001*
Linguistic Comprehension Construction			
Following Commands	8.65 (0.72)	8.91 (0.29)	0.06
Comparative Question	5.43 (0.73)	5.65 (0.65)	0.17
Repetition	65.96 (8.09)	68.61 (4.58)	0.01*
Reading Comprehension: word	7.52 (0.59)	7.61 (0.66)	0.41
Reading Comprehension: sentence	6.09 (1.12)	6.43 (0.79)	0.1

Table 4. Comparisons of mean and standard deviation of each pretest and post-test in experimental group. (Continuous)

	Pre - Test	Post - Test	p - value
Linguistic Expression Construction			
Object Description	6.91 (2.47)	7.61 (1.31)	0.02*
Generative Naming Category	13.17 (3.90)	14.30 (4.22)	0.1
Confrontation Naming	17.09 (2.79)	17.65 (2.60)	0.03*
Concept Definition	45.65 (8.50)	48.78(10.29)	0.2
Visuospatial Construction			
Generative Drawing	12.91 (1.62)	13.04 (1.55)	0.76
Figure Copying	11.48 (0.73)	11.57 (0.73)	0.41

*significant at p-value <0.05

No differences of the subtests on generative drawing and figure copying revealed in visuospatial construction.

Discussion

These findings show that using this simple compact TENS to stimulate the skin over the temporal areas for 10 minutes every day, 5 days a week for 3 weeks is able to improve recent memory.

The stimulation on the temporal areas may transmit through the skin and skull into the temporal brain areas and directly stimulate the memory center, hippocampus. Secondary excitatory impulses transmitted to the parietal, temporal and occipital cortices producing permanent recovery of recent memory. ⁽³⁶⁾

There is evidence that the interpretation and understanding by the supramarginal and angular gyri of the parietal cortices are stimulated and transmits these impulses to the Broca's area. This result is ability to find the right words and correct grammars. ^(37 - 40)

Conclusion

These findings have proved that using this simple compact TENS to stimulate the skin on the temporal areas for 10 minutes every day, 5 days a week for 3 weeks being able to improve function of cognition and behaviors including recent memory.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Assistant Prof. Dr. Jariya Boonhong, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University for her supports in data analysis and good cooperation.

References

1. Alva G, Potkin SG. Alzheimer disease and other dementias. Clin Geriatr Med 2003 Nov; 19(4): 763-76
2. American Academy of neurology. About dementia. Neurology 2004; 63(10); E20
3. Scherder EJ, Bouma A, Steen AM. Effects of short-term transcutaneous electrical nerve

- stimulation on memory and affective behaviour in patients with probable Alzheimer's disease. *Behav Brain Res* 1995 Mar; 67(2): 211-9
4. Scherder EJ, Bouma A. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on memory and behavior in Alzheimer's disease may be stage-dependent. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999 Mar; 45(6): 743-9
5. Scherder EJ, Bouma A, Steen LM. Effects of "isolated" transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on memory and affective behavior in patients with probable Alzheimer's disease. *Biol Psychiatry* 1998 Mar; 43(6): 417-24
6. Bobillier P, Seguin S, Petitjean F, Salvvert D, Touret M, Jouvret M. The raphe nuclei of the cat brain stem: a topographical atlas of their efferent projections as revealed by autoradiography. *Brain Res* 1976 Sep; 113(3): 449-86
7. Cliffer KD, Burstein R, Giesler GJ Jr. Distributions of spinothalamic, spinothalamic, and spinothalamic fibers revealed by anterograde transport of PHA-L in rats. *J Neurosci* 1991 Mar; 11(3): 852-68
8. Carpenter GA, Grossberg S. Normal and amnesic learning, recognition and memory by a neural model of cortico-hippocampal interactions. *Trends Neurosci* 1993 Apr; 16(4): 131-7
9. Swaab DF. Brain aging and Alzheimer's disease, "wear and tear" versus "use it or lose it". *Neurobiol Aging* 1991 Jul; 12(4): 317-24
10. Coleman PD, Flood DG. Neuron numbers and dendritic extent in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 1987 Nov; 8(6): 521-45
11. Regeur L, Jensen GB, Pakkenberg H, Evans SM, Pakkenberg B. No global neocortical nerve cell loss in brains from patients with senile dementia of Alzheimer's type. *Neurobiol Aging* 1994 May; 15(3): 347-52
12. Swaab DF, Lucassen PJ, Salehi A, Scherder EJ, van Someren EJ, Verwer RW. Reduced neuronal activity and reactivation in Alzheimer's disease. *Prog Brain Res* 1998; 117: 343-77
13. Scherder EJ, van Someren EJ, Bouma A, Berg M. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on cognition and behaviour in aging. *Behav Brain Res* 2000 Jun; 111(1-2): 223-5
14. Dorfman LJ. Sensory evoked potentials: clinical applications in medicine. *Ann Rev Med* 1983; 34: 473-89
15. Mangina CA, Beuzeron-Mangina JH. Direct electrical stimulation of specific human brain structures and bilateral electrodermal activity. *Int J Psychophysiol* 1996 Apr; 22(1-2): 1-8
16. Fish DR, Gloor P, Quesney FL, Olivier A. Clinical responses to electrical brain stimulation of the temporal and frontal lobes in patients with epilepsy. Pathophysiological implications. *Brain* 1993 Apr; 116 (Pt 2): 397-414
17. Gordon B, Lesser RP, Rance NE, Hart J, Jr., Webber R, Uematsu S, Fisher RS. Parameters for direct cortical electrical stimulation in the human: histopathologic confirmation. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1990 May; 75(5): 371-7

18. Suputtitada A, Aksaranugraha S, Aksornung S, Theppabutr A. Effectiveness of double TENS in patients with mild to moderate degree of knee osteoarthritis. ISPRM 4th World congress; June 10-14; 2007: 13-2
19. Blessed G, Tomlinson BE, Roth M. The association between quantitative measures of dementia and of senile change in the cerebral grey matter of elderly subjects. *Br J Psychiatry* 1968 Jul; 114(512): 797-811
20. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975 Nov; 12(3): 189-98
21. Blessed G, Black SE, Butler T, Kay DW. The diagnosis of dementia in the elderly. A comparison of CAMCOG (the cognitive section of CAMDEX), the AGE CAT program, DSM-III, the Mini-Mental State Examination and some short rating scales. *Br J Psychiatry* 1991 Aug; 159: 193-8
22. Bourgeois MS. Functional outcome assessment of adults with dementia. *Semin Speech Lang* 1998; 19(3): 261-9
23. Porch BE. Porch index of Communicative Abilities. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1967
24. Goodglass H, Kaplan E. The Boston diagnostic aphasia examination. In: Goodglass H, Kaplan E, eds. *The Assessment of Aphasia and Related Disorders*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1983
25. De Renzi E, Faglioni P. Normative data and screening power of a shortened version of the Token Test. *Cortex* 1978 Mar; 14(1): 41-9
26. Kertesz A. *Aphasia and Associated Disorders: Taxonomy Localization and Recovery*. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1979
27. Bayles KA, Boone DR, Tomoeda CK, Slauson TJ, Kaszniak AW. Differentiating Alzheimer's patients from the normal elderly and stroke patients with aphasia. *J Speech Hear Disord* 1989 Feb; 54(1): 74-87
28. Bayles KA, Tomoeda C. *Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia (ABCD)*. Tucson, AZ: Canyonlands Publishing, 1993: 1-80
29. Treekittichai A. *The Comparison of Communication Performance of Normal Elderly and Young Adults on the Thai Adaptation of the Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia*. Bangkok: Mahidol University, 2001.
30. Shekim LO. Dementia. In: LaPointe LL, ed. *Aphasia and Related Neurogenic Language Disorders*. 2nd ed. New York: Thieme Stuttgart, 1997: 238-49
31. Bayles KA. Management of neurogenic communication disorders associated with dementia. In: Chaper R, ed. *Language Intervention Strategies in Adult Aphasia*. 3th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1994: 535-45
32. Wallace GL, Holmes S. Cognitive-linguistic assessment of individuals with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993 Jun; 74(6): 637-43
33. Erkinjuntti T, Haltia M, Palo J, Sulkava R, Paetau A. Accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of vascular dementia: a prospective clinical

- and post-mortem neuropathological study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1988 Aug; 51(8): 1037-44
34. Wechsler D. The Measurement and Appraisal of Adult Intelligence. 4th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1981
35. Reisberg B, Ferris SH, de Leon MJ, Crook T. The Global Deterioration Scale for assessment of primary degenerative dementia. Am J Psychiatry 1982 Sep; 139(9): 1136-9
36. Armstrong L, Borthwick SE, Bayles KA, Tomoeda CK. Use of the Arizona Battery for Communication Disorders of Dementia in the UK. Eur J Disord Commun 1996; 31(2): 171-80
37. Umphred DA. Limbic complex: influence over motor control and learning. In: Umphred DA, ed. Neurological Rehabilitation. 3rd ed. Mosby-Year Book, 1995: 92-117
38. Goodglass H. Understanding Aphasia. San Diego: Academic Press, 1993.
39. Warlker R. Memory. In: Warlker R, ed. Brain Our body's Nerve Centre. Series: Under the Microscope. Danbury: Grolier Educational, 1998: 20-31
40. มีชัย ศรีไธ. Neuroanatomy ประสาทกายวิภาคศาสตร์. กรุงเทพฯ: สีนประสิทธิ์การพิมพ์, 2530