

5-1-1998

## Effect of supplemented and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions on the incidence of endophthalmitis after phacoemulsification : A pilot study

P. Tayanithi

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.car.chula.ac.th/clmjjournal>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Tayanithi, P. (1998) "Effect of supplemented and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions on the incidence of endophthalmitis after phacoemulsification : A pilot study," *Chulalongkorn Medical Journal*. Vol. 42: Iss. 5, Article 2.

Available at: <https://digital.car.chula.ac.th/clmjjournal/vol42/iss5/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chulalongkorn Journal Online (CUJO) at Chula Digital Collections. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chulalongkorn Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Chula Digital Collections. For more information, please contact [ChulaDC@car.chula.ac.th](mailto:ChulaDC@car.chula.ac.th).

**Effect of supplemented and nonsupplemented  
vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions on  
the incidence of endophthalmitis after  
phacoemulsification : A pilot study**

Pakitti Tayanithi\*

Tayanithi P. Effect of supplemented and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions on the incidence of endophthalmitis after phacoemulsification: A pilot study. Chula Med J 1998 May;42(5):339-51

**Background** : *Although prophylactic antibiotics are widely used, little evidence exists that they prevent postoperative endophthalmitis. More recently, antibiotic supplementation of intraocular irrigating solutions has received increasing publicity, leading to more widespread use. Incorporating supplemented vancomycin into the intraocular irrigating solutions offers the advantage of achieving bactericidal antibiotic levels in the anterior chamber preoperatively. These levels may not be maintained sufficiently to be therapeutic. In addition, a theoretical risk of toxicity exists if dosage errors occur.*

**Objective** : *To investigate the effect of supplemented and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions on the incidence of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis after routine uncomplicated phacoemulsification with intraocular lens implantation.*

**Setting** : *Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and Hospital, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.*

- Research design** : *A prospective , non-randomized, controlled clinical trial*
- Patients and Methods** : *A group of 1060 eyes scheduled for routine elective cataract surgery using phacoemulsification was divided into two consecutive, nonrandomized groups. The first group (n=312) was a studied group; receiving vancomycin in the irrigating solution. The second group (n=748) was a controlled group; there was no antibiotic in the irrigating solution. The incidence of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis was observed and compared among the two groups for 8 weeks after surgery.*
- Results** : *There was no single eye with clinically suspected postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis found in either group, using supplemented and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions. There was no difference in the incidence of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis in the two groups.*
- Conclusion** : *The prophylactic use of supplemented vancomycin in the irrigating solutions for patients who undergo cataract surgery inevitably results in many patients receiving apparently unnecessary treatment, with obvious financial implications. The use of vancomycin in this manner should be critically reassessed until further well designed studies with large populations become available.*
- Key words** : *Vancomycin, Phacoemulsification, Intraocular irrigating solution.*

Reprint request : Tayanithi P, Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

Received for publication. March 12,1998.

ปภิตติ ทยานิธิ. ผลของการใช้และไม่ใช้ยาแวนโคไมซินผสมในน้ำเกลือที่ใช้ชะล้างภายในตาขณะ  
ผ่าตัดต่อปฏิบัติการของการติดเชื้อภายในตาที่เกิดขึ้นภายหลังการผ่าตัดสลายต้อกระจกโดยใช้คลื่น-  
เสียงความถี่สูง: การศึกษานำร่อง. จุฬาลงกรณ์เวชสาร 2541 พ.ศ; 42(5): 339-51

- ปัญหา : มีการใช้ยาปฏิชีวนะเพื่อป้องกันการติดเชื้อภายในตาที่เกิดขึ้น  
หลังการ ผ่าตัดกันอย่างแพร่หลาย แต่ยังไม่มียาหลักฐานที่เชื่อถือ  
ได้ยืนยันชัดเจนว่าการผสมยาแวนโคไมซินซึ่งเป็นปฏิชีวนะ  
ชนิดหนึ่งในน้ำเกลือที่ใช้ชะล้างภายในตาขณะที่ทำผ่าตัด  
ภายในตาซึ่งกำลังเป็นที่นิยมปฏิบัติแพร่หลาย ปฏิบัติกันมากขึ้น  
เรื่อย ๆ จะช่วยป้องกันการติดเชื้อที่เกิดขึ้นหลังการผ่าตัดดัง  
กล่าวได้เพียงใดการใช้ยาแวนโคไมซินในลักษณะนี้อาจ  
เป็นการใช้ที่เกิดความจำเป็นหรือไม่ ทำให้ผู้ป่วยเพิ่ม  
ความเสี่ยงจากการได้รับยา เชื้อโรคมักมีการคือยาตามมา และเสีย  
ค่าใช้จ่ายจำนวนมากโดยไม่จำเป็น
- วัตถุประสงค์ : เป็นการศึกษา นำร่องเพื่อศึกษาผลของการใช้น้ำเกลือที่ใช้ชะล้าง  
ภายในตาที่ผสมและไม่ผสมยาปฏิชีวนะแวนโคไมซินที่มีต่อ  
ปฏิบัติการของการติดเชื้อภายในตาเนื่องจากเชื้อแบคทีเรียที่  
เกิดขึ้นหลังการผ่าตัดสลายต้อกระจก โดยใช้คลื่นเสียงความ  
ถี่สูงร่วมกับการใส่เลนส์เทียม
- สถานที่ที่ทำการศึกษา : ภาควิชาจักษุวิทยา คณะแพทยศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย
- รูปแบบการวิจัย : การศึกษาแบบ ไปข้างหน้า แบบ ไม่สุ่มตัวอย่าง
- ผู้ป่วยที่ได้ทำการศึกษา : ผู้ป่วยที่มารับการรักษาที่แผนกผู้ป่วยนอกจักษุวิทยา โรงพยาบาล-  
จุฬาลงกรณ์ ซึ่งได้รับการวินิจฉัยว่าเป็นต้อกระจกที่ไม่มีปัญหา  
แทรกซ้อนและได้รับการรักษา โดยการผ่าตัดสลายต้อกระจก โดย  
ใช้คลื่นเสียงความถี่สูงร่วมกับการใส่เลนส์เทียม ผู้ป่วยเหล่านี้  
เป็นผู้ป่วยที่ไม่เคยได้รับการผ่าตัดตา และไม่มีโรคตาอย่างอื่นมา  
ก่อนจำนวนทั้งหมด 1,060 ตา วิธีการศึกษาแบ่งผู้ป่วยเป็น 2 กลุ่ม  
กลุ่มศึกษา จำนวน 312 ตา ใช้น้ำเกลือที่ใช้ชะล้างภายในตาขณะ  
ผ่าตัดที่ผสมยาปฏิชีวนะแวนโคไมซินส่วนกลุ่มควบคุม จำนวน  
748 ตา ใช้น้ำเกลือที่ใช้ชะล้างภายในตาขณะผ่าตัดที่ไม่ได้

## ผลการศึกษา

ผลมยบปฏิชีวนะแวนโคไมซิน การรักษาทังสองกลุ่มเป็นการผ่าตัดสลายต้อกระจก โดยใช้คลื่นเสียงความถี่สูงร่วมกับใส่เลนส์เทียม ศึกษาเปรียบเทียบอุบัติการของการติดเชื้อภายในตา เนื่องจากเชื้อแบคทีเรียที่เกิดขึ้นหลัง การผ่าตัดเป็นเวลา 8 สัปดาห์ ไม่พบผู้ป่วยที่สงสัยหรือมีการติดเชื้อภายในตาเนื่องจากเชื้อแบคทีเรียที่เกิดขึ้นหลังการผ่าตัดเลยทั้งในกลุ่มทดลองและกลุ่มควบคุม อุตบัติการของการติดเชื้อภายในตาเนื่องจากเชื้อแบคทีเรียที่เกิดขึ้นหลังการผ่าตัดของสองกลุ่ม ไม่แตกต่างกัน

## วิจารณ์และสรุป

การลดอุบัติการของการติดเชื้อภายในตาเนื่องจากเชื้อแบคทีเรียที่เกิดขึ้นหลังการผ่าตัดควรจะทำให้ความสนใจในเรื่องการเลือกผู้ป่วย และวิธีทำให้ปราศจากเชื้อก่อนและระหว่างการทำผ่าตัดให้เคร่งครัด การใช้ยาปฏิชีวนะผสมในน้ำเกลือที่ใช้ชะล้างภายในตาขณะผ่าตัดในการผ่าตัดสลายต้อกระจก โดยใช้คลื่นเสียงความถี่สูงทุกรายเพื่อหวังผล ในการป้องกันการติดเชื้อภายในตา เนื่องจากเชื้อแบคทีเรียที่เกิดขึ้นหลังการผ่าตัดทำให้ผู้ป่วยได้รับยาและเสียค่าใช้จ่ายโดยไม่จำเป็น ควรสงวนยาแวนโคไมซินไว้ใช้เมื่อมีการติดเชื้อจริงเท่านั้น การศึกษานี้เป็นเพียงการศึกษานำร่องที่ยังมีจำนวนประชากรที่ทำการศึกษายังไม่มาก ควรจะต้องมีการศึกษาต่อไปที่มีจำนวนประชากรมากขึ้น เพื่อเป็นการยืนยันการศึกษานี้

The goal of the ophthalmic surgeon is to perform sight-improving procedures using high quality techniques while minimizing adverse circumstances. Certain complications, such as postoperative bacterial infection, are difficult to anticipate; therefore, all patients must be covered by a consistent pattern of perioperative medication which is determined by patient requirements and physician preferences. Postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis is a devastating complication of intraocular surgery and remains a small but definite risk during all forms of intraocular surgery. Considerable effort has been and still is being expanded by ophthalmologists throughout the world, to minimize this risk because of the serious visual morbidity associated with such infection. Although the incidence of postoperative endophthalmitis has decreased in the past 30 years, it still occurs at an appreciable rate after cataract surgery, with the most accurate guide to its incidence (0.31%) coming from a prospective nationwide study performed between 1988 and 1989.<sup>(1)</sup> This incidence is higher than that reported in retrospective studies from teaching units<sup>(2,3)</sup> (0.072 to 0.10%) that do not represent national experience.<sup>(4,5)</sup> The difference may also be explained by selection bias from incomplete assessment. The majority of cases of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis occur after cataract surgery,<sup>(6)</sup> with an incidence of between 0.072 and 0.58%.<sup>(1,2,4,5,7)</sup> Irrespective of its true incidence, as a quarter of the patients with postoperative endophthalmitis achieve a final visual acuity of worse than 20/200,<sup>(8)</sup> prevention of endophthalmitis is of great importance. Widespread adoption of self-sealing, small incision techniques in cataract surgery may further reduce the occurrence of endophthalmitis.

Evidence concerning the source of organisms

responsible for endophthalmitis demonstrates that bacterial are frequently introduced into the eye during cataract surgery<sup>(9)</sup>. The origin of the infecting organisms is predominantly from commensals on the ocular surface<sup>(10)</sup> and, to a lesser extent, from airborne microorganisms<sup>(11)</sup> or other endogenous sources such as the genitourinary tract. The most common infecting organism is *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.<sup>(12-15)</sup> However, in most cases, the source of the infecting organism cannot be identified with certainty. It has been clearly demonstrated that viable organisms are introduced into the eye during cataract surgery, and bacteria may be isolated from aqueous areas in a quarter or more eyes undergoing extracapsular procedures,<sup>(16)</sup> even where there has been surface disinfection with povidone-iodine or preoperative topical antibiotics have been administered.<sup>(17,18)</sup> These observations, together with the low rate of endophthalmitis (less than 1%), make it likely that endophthalmitis only develops after introduction of a sufficiently large or virulent inoculum. A variety of techniques have been proposed to minimize the risk of endophthalmitis, including meticulous asepsis in the operating theater,<sup>(10)</sup> conjunctival preparation with povidone-iodine,<sup>(19,20)</sup> small incision surgical techniques,<sup>(21)</sup> and administration of prophylactic antibiotics.

Although prophylactic antibiotics are widely used, little evidence exists that they prevent postoperative endophthalmitis. The prophylactic use of antibiotics to prevent postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis is controversial. In the past, antibiotics used in such a manner usually were given topically, subconjunctivally, or periorbitally. It is difficult to properly evaluate the effect of preoperative antibiotics.<sup>(22-24)</sup>

While topical preoperative antibiotics alter the

patient's own conjunctival flora,<sup>(22)</sup> no reduction in the incidence of endophthalmitis<sup>(23)</sup> or the rate of culture-positive anterior chamber aspirates has been shown.<sup>(17)</sup> Similarly, subconjunctival antibiotics reach sustained therapeutic levels in the anterior chamber<sup>(24-26)</sup> without an effect on the incidence of postoperative endophthalmitis being proven.

More recently, antibiotic supplementation of intraocular irrigating solutions has received increasing publicity, leading to more widespread use. To reduce the risk for postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis, the use of supplemented antibiotics, such as vancomycin, in the intraocular irrigation solutions during routine cataract surgery has been recommended.<sup>(27-31)</sup> Incorporating supplemented vancomycin into the intraocular irrigating solutions<sup>(32)</sup> has yielded promising results. Although it offers the advantage of achieving bactericidal antibiotic levels in the anterior chamber preoperatively, these levels may not be maintained sufficiently to be therapeutic.<sup>(33, 34)</sup> In addition, a theoretical risk of toxicity exists if dosage errors occur.

This study was undertaken to investigate the effect of supplemented and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions on the incidence of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis after routine uncomplicated phacoemulsification with intraocular lens implantation.

## Patients and Methods

### Selection of Patients

The study included 1060 consecutive eyes having routine elective cataract surgery and intraocular lens implantation (from June 1, 1994, to November 30,

1995). Patients were excluded if there was a history or evidence of previous surgery or penetrating injury to the eye, local or systemic infection at the time of surgery, or a perioperative complication (e.g. posterior capsule rupture). All types of cataracts (posterior subcapsular, nuclear sclerosis, dense brunescens, etc.) were included in this study.

The eyes were prospectively divided into two consecutive, nonrandomized groups: in the studied group of 312 eyes (from June 1, 1994 to November 30, 1995), 10 mg. of vancomycin hydrochloride (Vancocin CP, Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN) were added to 500 ml. of preservative-free, balanced salt solution (BSS, Alcon Laboratories Inc., Forth Worth, TX), resulting in a vancomycin concentration of 20 microgram/ml. (20 mg./L) in the intraocular irrigating fluid. In the controlled group of 748 eyes (from December 1, 1995 to February 28, 1998), there was no supplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigation solution.

### Surgical Procedure

All cataract procedures were performed by one surgeon (P.T.), using the same technique of topical corneal tunnel phacoemulsification with injectable or foldable intraocular lenses. The operative eye was prepared for surgery with 1% tropicamide (Mydriacyl, Alcon Laboratories Inc., Forth Worth, TX) and 10% phenylephrine hydrochloride (Neo-Synephrine, Sanofi Wintrop, New York, NY) starting 30 minutes before surgery. Topical 0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride (Ophthalmic, Allergan Westport Co., Mayo, Ireland) was instilled 5 minutes before surgery and repeated at the start of the preparation. Ocular preparation in the operating room included cleaning of eyelids and lashes with 10%

povidone-iodine solution (Betadine 10%, Escalon, Lakewood, NJ) allowing the antiseptic solution to contact the conjunctiva and conjunctival fornices. Lashes were not cut and no prophylactic topical or systemic antibiotics were used. Patients were draped with a sterile, disposable, self-adhesive plastic drape (Opsite, Smith & Nephew) to keep the lashes away from the field of the surgery. The head and body were draped with a sterile clothes drape. A wire speculum was in placed. No rectus bridle suture was used. Two stab incision were made for performing capsulorhexis and insertion of a second instrument at the 2 clock position away from the incision on each side using a disposable 15 degree metal stab knife (Stab Knife, Alcon Surgical, Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Forth Worth, TX, and and Sharpoint, Surgical Specialities Corporation, PA). A 3-mm, two-plane, clear corneal incision was made in the steepest corneal meridian and temporally in case of round cornea, and guided by preoperative keratometry, using 3 mm. disposable metal keratome (Slit Knife, Alcon Surgical, Alcon Laboratories Inc., Forth Worth, TX, and Sharpoint, Surgical Specialities Corporation, PA). The anterior chamber was filled with viscoelastic substances (Amvis Plus, Chiron Vision, Claremont, CA, Ophthalin, Ciba Vision, Hettlingen, Switzerland). A 5.5-mm diameter continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis was performed with a bent 27-gauge hypodermic needle. Hydrodissection and hydrodeleniation were performed with the same balanced salt solution used for intraocular ocular irrigation during phacoemulsification. The modified stop and chop type technique of phacoemulsification was used. After all cortical material was removed by irrigation and aspiration, viscoelastic was injected to fill the capsular bag. The incision was enlarged to 3.2-3.5 mm in width straddling the steepest corneal meridian using a disposable metal keratome.

Injectable or foldable intraocular lens implants were inserted into the capsular bag. The intraocular lenses included injectable, one-piece, plate haptic silicone lenses (Chiron Vision, model C10UB and C11UB, Chiron Vision, Claremont, CA), three-piece foldable silicone lenses (Soflex, model LI41U, and model C31UB, Chiron Vision, Claremont, CA) and three-piece foldable acrylic lenses (Acrysof, model MA 60 BM, Alcon Laboratories Inc., Forth Worth, TX). All viscoelastic was removed with irrigation and aspiration and the anterior chamber was filled with the irrigating balanced salt solution. The incision was not sutured. No intracameral antibiotics or miotics were used. Topical combined antibiotics (neomycin-polymyxin B, Spersapoly myxin, Dispersa, Switzerland) were instilled at the end of the surgery. No subconjunctival injection, eye ointment, or topical miotics was used. The operated eye had no patch applied but was shielded at the end of the procedure.

### Postoperative Procedure

Patients were started on topical combined chloramphenical - dexamethasone solution ( Spersadexoline, Dispersa, Switzerland) on the day of the procedure, 1 drop into the operated eye every hour for 4 hours and then four times a day for three weeks. No other topical or oral medications were prescribed except analgesic tablets (paracetamol tablets). Patients were asked to refrain from eye rubbing and swimming during the first two postoperative weeks; otherwise, their activities were not restricted.

Patients were seen during the first three days after surgery, at 2 weeks, 4 weeks and 8 weeks postoperatively unless circumstances necessitated more frequent visits an each postoperative visit each patient



underwent measurements of uncorrected visual acuity, slit-lamp examinations looking for postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis as defined in the inclusion criterias, autorefractokeratometry and tonometry. The best corrected visual acuity was recorded on the last postoperative examination during the study period.

### **Inclusion criterias for postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis**

1. postoperative ocular pain more severe than expected
2. tearing and photophobia
3. unexplained decreased visual acuity
4. hypopyon, vitritis
5. formation of fibrinous anterior reaction coating the iris, intraocular lens or forming a pupillary membrane
6. conjunctival hyperemia ,chemosis and lid swelling
7. corneal edema and cloudiness

### **Results**

There was no single eye with clinically suspected postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis found in either the control group (no antibiotics) or the test group (with vancomycin). There was no difference in the incidence of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis despite using supplemented or nonsupplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating solutions.

### **Discussion**

The rate of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis decreased to 0.1 to 0.3% with the introduction of new cataract surgical techniques that provided correct wound

closure and improved aseptic preparations of the patients.<sup>(1,35)</sup> To reduce bacterial contamination of the anterior chamber during phacoemulsification and thus minimize the risk of postoperative endophthalmitis, several authors<sup>(31,36)</sup> have recommended the use of antibiotics in the intraocular irrigating solution. This could be controversial because there have been no randomized prospective studies that evaluated the effect of antibiotics in the irrigating solution on the incidence of postoperative ocular infection.

The author investigated the association of using supplemented vancomycin and nonsupplemented vancomycin in the irrigating solutions on the incidence of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis in the patients having routine phacoemulsification. Despite the limitations of this study because of the small population group, there appeared to be no difference in the incidence of postoperative endophthalmitis when vancomycin was not used. Because few patients develop endophthalmitis, a randomized, controlled trial of preoperative prophylactic antibiotics would require a large number of patients approximately 100,000 cases, to demonstrate a modest reduction in incidence.<sup>(37)</sup> This study has been only a pilot study. Additional studies are required to judge the clinical relevance of the my observations in this study.

Vancomycin, a glycopeptide that inhibits cell wall biosynthesis, is highly active against gram-positive cocci. Its antibacterial activity is slow and time dependent; it begins in vitro after 6 hours and becomes complete after 24 to 28 hours.<sup>(38)</sup> For antibiotics to exert the desired inhibitory and bactericidal effect, an appropriate antibiotic must be present in either bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal concentrations over a significant period of the cell cycle.

In fact, a standard suspension of *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Staphylococcus epidermidis* incubated in balanced salt solution containing vancomycin (20mg./L) showed no decrease in the number of viable organisms for up to 120 minutes.<sup>(33)</sup> Phacoemulsification time is usually 15 to 30 minutes. At the end of an actual surgical procedure, the anterior chamber is reformed with irrigating solution with supplemented vancomycin. Some of the antibiotic diffuses into the surrounding tissues, including the vitreous. This may act as a depot, extending the antibiotic exposure, but the overall effect is not known. Probably more important in the anterior chamber's pharmacokinetics are the aqueous humor dynamics. It is difficult to measure the aqueous turnover during the first 24 hours after surgery. It is known that in a normal, noninflamed, unoperated eye, approximately 1% of the aqueous volume turns over per minute.<sup>(39)</sup> That would mean that concentration of a drug in the anterior chamber would decrease by one half in 70 minutes. The aqueous dynamics are more likely greatly accelerated in the immediate postoperative period due to inflammation. If allowing less than 1 hour for the surgical procedure and a dilution of the vancomycin concentration within a few hours because of aqueous turnover, the effective duration of the vancomycin activity after intraocular irrigation is fewer than 48 hours, insufficient to kill bacteria.<sup>(40)</sup>

Endophthalmitis can occur in spite of using antibiotics in the irrigating fluid. In an invitro model, it was demonstrated that exposure to antibiotics for a short time generally has no effect on organisms commonly responsible for endophthalmitis.<sup>(41)</sup> Townsend-Pico and associates<sup>(42)</sup> reported a patient with endophthalmitis secondary to coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* after

phacoemulsification with vancomycin containing irrigating solution. Despite the organism's in vitro susceptibility to vancomycin, the presence of vancomycin in the irrigating solution did not prevent this case of endophthalmitis.

Manipulation of irrigating solution, such as during the addition of antibiotics, opens an opportunity for errors or contamination that could have untoward effects. The surgeon must recognize that the use of these antibiotics in the irrigating fluid is not always protect on against postoperative endophthalmitis and that the risks may outweigh the possible benefits.

Another significant issue is the additional cost of adding antibiotics to the irrigating fluid. A standard bottle of vancomycin (500 mg., Vancocin CP, Eli Lilly) will cost the patient up to 845 baht per dose if an individual bottle is used for each patient.

The US Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, has published recommendations for the appropriate use of vancomycin.<sup>(43)</sup> These recommendations stem from the increasing prevalence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.<sup>(44)</sup> Reports in the medical literature point to the need to discourage the use of vancomycin for routine surgical prophylaxis and the use of vancomycin solution for topical application and irrigation.<sup>(45)</sup> In view of the warning of emerging bacterial resistance to vancomycin, it seems prudent that ophthalmologists carefully consider alternatives in the routine use of vancomycin in the irrigating fluid and for subconjunctival or topical administration.

In conclusion, the risk-benefit ratio of using supplemented vancomycin in the intraocular irrigating fluid is unknown. The considerations for reducing the risk for postoperative endophthalmitis must focus on the

identification of the high-risk patient preoperatively, routine use of povidone-iodine on the lids and conjunctiva, plastic barrier drapes to cover the lid margins, and adherence to a standard sterile protocol during surgery. The prophylactic use of supplemented vancomycin in the irrigating solutions for all patients who undergo cataract surgery inevitably results in many patients receiving apparently unnecessary treatment, with obvious financial implications. Additional large-population well-designed studies are needed to provide address the conclusion. The author recommends that ophthalmologists reserve vancomycin to treat infections only when they occur.

#### References

1. Fisch A, Salvanet A, Prazuck T, Forestier F, Gerbaud L, Coscas G, Lafaix C. Epidemiology of infective endophthalmitis in France. The French Collaborative Study Group on Endophthalmitis. *Lancet* 1991 Nov 30; 338 (8779): 1373-6
2. Kattan HM, Flynn HW Jr, Pflugfelder SC, Robertson c, Forster RK. Nosocomial endophthalmitis survey; current incidence of infection after intraocular surgery. *Ophthalmology* 1991 Feb; 98(2): 227-38
3. Stark WJ, Worthen DM, Holladay JT, Bath PE, Jacobs ME, Marray GC, McGhei ET, Talbott MW. The FDA report on intraocular lenses. *Ophthalmology* 1983 May; 90(4): 311-7
4. Javitt JC, Vitale S, Canner JK, Street DA, Krakauer H, McBean AM, Sommer A. National outcomes of cataract extraction; endophthalmitis following inpatient surgery. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1991 Aug; 109(8): 1085-9
5. Koul S, Philipson A, Philipson BT. Incidence of endophthalmitis in Sweden. *Acta Ophthalmol* 1989 Oct; 67(5): 499-503
6. Hughes DS, Hill RJ. Infectious endophthalmitis after cataract surgery. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1994 Mar; 78(3): 227-32
7. D'Amico DJ, Noorily SW. Postoperative endophthalmitis. In: Albert DM, Jakobiec FA, eds, *Principles and Practice of Ophthalmology*. Philadelphia, PA, Saunders, 1994: 1159-69
8. Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study Group. Results of the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study; a randomized trial of immediate vitrectomy and of intravenous antibiotics for the treatment of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1995 Dec; 113(12): 1479-96
9. Sherwood DR, Rich WJ, Jacob JS, Hart RJ, Fairchild YL. Bacterial contamination of intraocular and extraocular fluids during extracapsular cataract extraction. *Eye* 1989; 3(Pt3): 308-12.
10. Speaker MG, Milch FA, Shah MK, Eisner W, Kreis wirth BN. Role of external bacterial flora in the pathogenesis of acute postoperative endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmology* 1991 May; 98(2): 639-49
11. Spencer SR, Dealler SF, Hassett PD, Todd NJ, Nawkey PM, Noble BA. Bacterial contamination of intraocular lenses : the sources of the bacteria. *Eye* 1989; 3(Pt6): 685-9
12. Puliafito CA, Baker AS, Haaf J, Foster CS. Infectious endophthalmitis: review of 36 cases. *Ophthalmology* 1982 Aug; 89(8): 921-9
13. Rowsey JJ, Newsom DL, Sexton DJ, Harms WK.

- Endophthalmitis: current approaches. *Ophthalmology* 1982 Sep; 89(9): 1055-66
14. Driebe WT, Jr, Mandelbaum S, Forster RK, Schwartz LK, Culbertson WW. Pseudophakic endophthalmitis: diagnosis and management. *Ophthalmology* 1986 Apr (4); 93: 442-8
15. Forster RK, Abbott RL, Gelender H. Management of infectious endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmology* 1980 Apr; 87(4): 313-9
16. Dickey JB, Thompson KD, Jay WM. Anterior chamber aspiration cultures after uncomplicated cataract surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991 Sep; 112(3): 278-82.
17. Chitkara DK, Manners T, Chapman F, Stoddart MG, Hill D, Jenkins D. Lack of effect of preoperative norfloxacin on bacterial contamination of anterior chamber aspirates after cataract surgery. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1994 Oct; 78(10): 772-4
18. Isenberg SJ, Apt L, Yoshimori R, Khwarg S. Chemical preparation of the eye; in ophthalmic surgery: IV. Comparison of povidone-iodine on the conjunctiva with a prophylactic antibiotic. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1985 Sep; 103(9): 1340-2
19. Speaker MG, Menikoff JA. Prophylaxis of endophthalmitis with topical povidone-iodine. *Ophthalmology* 1991 Dec; 98(12): 1769-75
20. Boes DA, Lindquist TD, Fritsche TR, Kalina RE. Effect of povidone-iodine chemical preparation and saline irrigation on the perilimbal flora. *Ophthalmology* 1992 Oct; 99(10): 1569-74
21. Egger SF, Huber-Spitzy V, Skorpik C, Weghaupt H, Scholda C, Arocker-Mettinger E, Schneider B. Different techniques of extracapsular cataract extraction: bacterial contamination during surgery. Prospective study on 230 consecutive patients. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1994 May; 32(5): 308-11
22. Burns RP, Oden M. Antibiotic prophylaxis in cataract surgery. *Trans Am J Ophthalmol Soc* 1972; 70: 43-57
23. Allen HF, Mangiaracine AB. Bacterial endophthalmitis after cataract extraction. II. Incidence in 36,000 consecutive operations with special reference to preoperative topical antibiotics. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1974 Jan; 91(1): 3-7
24. Shockley RK, Fishman P, Aziz M, Yannis RA, Jay WM. Subconjunctival administration of ceftazidime in pigmented rabbit eyes. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1986 Feb; 104(2) :266-8
25. Saunders JH, McPherson SD Jr. Ocular penetration of cefazolin in humans and rabbits after subconjunctival injection. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1980 Apr; 89(4): 564-6
26. Van Rooyen MM, Coetzee JF, Du Toit DF, Van Jaarsveld PP. Intra-ocular concentration-time relationships of subconjunctivally administered gentamicin. *S Afr Med J* 1991 Sep; 80(5): 236-9
27. Gills JP. Filters and antibiotics in irrigating solution for cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1991 May; 17(3): 385
28. Consultation section. What specific means of antibacterial prophylaxis do you recommend before, during, and after routine cataract surgery? *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1993 Jan; 19(1): 108-11

29. Peyman GA, Daun M. Prophylaxis of endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1994 Nov-Dec;25(10):671-4
30. Gills JP. Antibiotics in irrigating solutions [letter]. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1987 May; 13(3): 344
31. Gills JP. Filters and antibiotics in irrigating solution for cataract surgery[letter]. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1991 May; 17(3):385
32. Infectious endophthalmitis following sutuleless cataract surgery (letter). *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992 Jul; 110 (7): 915
33. Gritz DC, Cevallos AV, Smolin G, Whitcher JP Jr. Antibiotic supplementation of intraocular irrigating solutions; an in vitro model of antibacterial action. *Ophthalmology* 1996 Aug; 103(8):1204-8
34. Lehmann OJ, Thompson JP, White LO, Keys MF, Campbell MJ. Half-life of intracameral gentamicin after phacoemulsification. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1997 Jul-Aug; 23(6) : 883-8
35. Javitt JC, Vitale S, Canner JK, Street DA, Krakauer H, McBean AM, Sommer A. National outcomes of cataract extraction; endophthalmitis following inpatient surgery. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1991 Aug; 109(8): 1085-9
36. Consultation section: what specific means of antibacterial prophylaxis do you use or recommend before, during, and after routine cataract surgery? *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1993 Jan; 19(1): 108-11
37. Lehmann OJ, Roberts CJ, Ikram K, Cainpbell MJ, McGill JI. Association between nonadministration of subconjunctival cefuroxime and postoperative endophthalmitis. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1997 Jul-Aug; 23(6) : 889-93
38. Caillon J, Juvin ME, Pirault JL, Drugen HB. Activite bactericide de la daptomycin (LY 146032) comparee a celle de la vancomycine et de la teicoplanine sur les bacteries a gram positif. *Pathol Biol* 1986 Jun; 37(5pt 2) :540-8
39. Hoskins HD, Kass MA. *Becker-Schaffer's Diagnosis and Therapy of the Glaucomas*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St Louis: CV Mosby , 1988:34.
40. Meredith TA, Aguilar hE, Shaarawy A, Kincaid M, Dick J, Niesman MR. Vancomycin levels in the vitreous cavity after intravenous administration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1995 Jun; 119(6):774-8
41. Gritz DC, Cevallos AV, Smolin G, Whitcher JP Jr. Antibiotic supplementation of intraoperative infusions: an in vitro model. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1995;36:s154. ARVO Abstracts.
42. Townsend-Pico WA, Meyers SM, Langston RHS., Costin JA. Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus endophthalmitis after cataract surgery with intraocular vancomycin. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1996 Mar; 121(3): 318-9
43. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing the spread of vancomycin-resistance: Report From the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *59 Federal Register* 1994;25: 757
44. Hyman GF. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (letter). *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1995 Nov 21;(6): 599-600

45. Tomasz A. Multiple-antibiotic-resistance patho-  
genic bacteria: A report on the Rockefeller  
University Workshop. N Engl J Med 1994  
Apr 28;330(17): 1247-51