

11-1-1991

## Surgical management of stab wounds of the colon

Suvit Sriussadaporn

Chanvit Tanhiphat

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.car.chula.ac.th/clmjjournal>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Sriussadaporn, Suvit and Tanhiphat, Chanvit (1991) "Surgical management of stab wounds of the colon," *Chulalongkorn Medical Journal*: Vol. 35: Iss. 11, Article 5.

DOI: 10.58837/CHULA.CMJ.35.11.5

Available at: <https://digital.car.chula.ac.th/clmjjournal/vol35/iss11/5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chulalongkorn Journal Online (CUJO) at Chula Digital Collections. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chulalongkorn Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Chula Digital Collections. For more information, please contact [ChulaDC@car.chula.ac.th](mailto:ChulaDC@car.chula.ac.th).

## Surgical management of stab wounds of the colon

Suvit Sriussadaporn\*  
Chanvit Tanhiphat\*

**Sriussadaporn S, Tanhiphat C. Surgical management of stab wounds of the colon. Chula Med J 1991 Nov; 35(11): 729-733**

*The safety of primary repair of colonic injuries in selected patients has been well documented by several authors. The treatment of 27 stab wounds of the colon at Chulalongkorn Hospital during the last 10 years was reviewed. The results of the study confirm the effectiveness of the treatment modality used at the hospital. The advantages over alternative techniques are the avoidance of a second operation and the untoward effects of a colostomy.*

*Key words : Stab wounds, Colon.*

Reprint request: Sriussadaporn S, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

Received for publication. August 19, 1991.

**สุวิทย์ ศรีธัญญาพร, ชาญวิทย์ ตันติพิพัฒน์. การรักษาบาดแผลอุกแกงของลำไส้ใหญ่. จุฬาลงกรณ์-  
เวชสาร 2534 พฤศจิกายน; 35(11) : 729-733**

การเย็บปิดบาดแผลลำไส้ใหญ่ที่ได้รับบาดเจ็บโดยไม่มี colostomy เป็นวิธีการรักษาบาดแผลของลำไส้ใหญ่ที่ศัลยแพทย์นิยมทำกันมากขึ้นเรื่อย ๆ ในช่วงระยะเวลา 10 ปีที่ผ่านมา มีผู้ป่วยที่มีบาดแผลอุกแกงที่ลำไส้ใหญ่มารับการรักษาที่โรงพยาบาลจุฬาลงกรณ์จำนวน 27 ราย ผู้ป่วยจำนวน 26 รายได้รับการรักษาโดยการเย็บปิดบาดแผลของลำไส้ใหญ่โดยไม่มี colostomy ผลการรักษาเป็นที่น่าพอใจ การรักษาด้วยวิธีนี้มีข้อดีตรงที่ไม่มี colostomy ทำให้ผู้ป่วยไม่ต้องมาทำผ่าตัดปิด colostomy ในภายหลัง

The surgical management of colonic injury has undergone major changes since the beginning of this century. During World War I, most patients with injuries of the colon underwent primary repair, but mortality was exceedingly high.<sup>(1,2)</sup> Early in World War II, Ogilvie proposed exteriorization of the injured colon or proximal diversion. This policy was subsequently adopted in civilian practice during

the post-war period. Since then primary repair of colonic injuries caused by knives and small caliber low-velocity missiles has gradually returned to favor as the standard management.<sup>(3-5)</sup> The purpose of this study is to review the results of treatment of stab wounds of the colon over a 10-year period at a city teaching hospital.

**Table 1.** Number of organ injuries.

| Number of organ injuries | Patients |          |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|                          | Number   | Per cent |
| 1                        | 10       | 37       |
| 2                        | 10       | 37       |
| 3                        | 6        | 22       |
| 4                        | 1        | 4        |

**Table 2.** Site of colonic stab wounds.

| Site of injury   | Patients |          |
|------------------|----------|----------|
|                  | Number   | Per cent |
| Caecum           | 4        | 15       |
| Ascending colon  | 1        | 4        |
| Hepatic flexure  | 4        | 15       |
| Transverse colon | 12       | 44       |
| Splenic flexure  | 1        | 4        |
| Descending colon | 3        | 11       |
| Sigmoid colon    | 2        | 7        |

**Table 3.** Operative procedures and complications.

| Procedure                              | Patients |                    |
|--|----------|--------------------|
|  | Total    | with complications |
| Primary repair                         | 25       | 5 (20%)            |
| Exteriorized repair                    | 1        | —                  |
| Simple closure with proximal colostomy | 1        | —                  |

**Table 4.** Complications.

| Complications |                 | Organ injuries                                    | Shock | Outcome  | Hospital stay (mean 11.4 days) |
|---------------|-----------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Case 1        | Wound infection | Transverse colon<br>Small bowel, IVC<br>Mesentery | Yes   | Survived | 15                             |
| Case 2        | Wound infection | Sigmoid colon<br>Small bowel<br>Diaphragm         | No    | Survived | 15                             |
| Case 3        | Wound infection | Hepatic flexure<br>Left kidney                    | Yes   | Survived | 16                             |
| Case 4        | Pneumonia       | Descending colon<br>Small bowel, Diaphragm        | No    | Survived | 16                             |
| Case 5        | Urinoma         | Sigmoid colon<br>Small bowel, Left ureter         | No    | Survived | 41                             |

## Material and Method

Clinical records of patients who underwent laparotomies for colonic injuries from stab wounds from the year 1979 to 1988 at Chulalongkorn Hospital were reviewed. This analysis was a part of the study of all patients who underwent laparotomies for abdominal stab wounds. The indications for exploratory laparotomy included shock, abdominal signs of peritonitis, evidence of gastrointestinal bleeding and evidence of peritoneal penetration either from local wound exploration or from evisceration of the omentum or bowel. Shock was defined as a systolic blood pressure of less than 90 mmHg. at admission or during surgery. Of the four patients who were in shock on arrival, two developed wound infection. There was no obvious leakage from the suture line in any patient.

Apart from the patient who exsanguinated in the operating room, there was no post-operative death.

## Discussion

Our results have shown that primary closure of stab wounds of the colon in a city teaching hospital-setting is safe. The absence of leakage after primary repair confirms the efficacy of this simple method of treatment of colonic stab wounds.

Three cases of wound infection and one case of pneumonia were acceptable post-operative complications in view of the emergency operation and the potential for contamination from the colonic wounds. The case of urinoma resulted from an overlooked ureteric injury at the initial operation and was not related to the method of repairing the colonic wound.

All the complications developed in patients who had multiple organ injuries, and two of them had been in shock. These associations are in agreement with previous studies.<sup>(6,7)</sup> The association between multiple organ injuries and infective complications is presumably related to the immunologic suppression that occurs in severely traumatized patients. Although there was no clinical suture line dehiscence in this study, primary repair in the presence of prolonged shock on admission could be hazardous.<sup>(8)</sup> Systemic hypoperfusion initiates a sequence of cellular changes that render the patient more susceptible to the otherwise tolerable insults from severe visceral injury and to infection. Sustained hypotension also induce a reduction in bowel perfusion which may contribute to anastomotic dehiscence and even spontaneous colon necrosis.<sup>(8,9)</sup>

The safety of primary repair of colonic injuries in selected patients has been well documented.<sup>(10-14)</sup> Alternative treatments of colonic stab wounds

include simple repair with a proximal colostomy, exteriorization of the injured colon as a colostomy and exteriorization of the repaired colonic segment. These modalities require a second operation to close the colostomy or to return the healed exteriorized segment back into the abdomen. Therefore they should be reserved for the severely traumatized patients and those with marked fecal contamination.

### Summary

A retrospective study of patients with colonic injuries from stab wounds in a city teaching hospital showed that primary closure is effective and safe in most patients. The advantages over alternative techniques are the avoidance of a second operation and the untoward effects of a colostomy. Colostomy or exteriorization should be reserved for patients with high risk of suture-line leakage, such as the presence of prolonged shock, severe multiple visceral injuries or gross fecal contamination.

### References

1. Imes PR. War surgery of the abdomen. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1945 Dec; 81(6): 608-16
2. Haynes CD, Gunn CH, Martin JD Jr. Colon injuries. *Arch Surg* 1968 Jun; 96(6): 944-8
3. Bartizal JF, Boyd DR, Folk FA, Smith D, Lescher TC, Freeark RJ. A critical review of management of 392 colonic and rectal injuries. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1974 May-Jun; 17(3): 313-8
4. LoCicero J<sup>3d</sup>, Tajima T, Drapanas T. A half-century of experience in the management of colon injuries: changing concepts. *J Trauma* 1975 Jul; 15(7): 575-9
5. Walt AJ. Management of injuries of the colon and rectum. *Adv Surg* 1983; 16: 277-97
6. Dellinger EP, Oreskovich MR, Wertz MJ, Hamasaki V, Lennard ES. Risk of infection following laparotomy for penetrating abdominal injury. *Arch Surg* 1964 Jan; 119(1): 20-7
7. Shannon FL, Moore EE. Primary repair of the colon. When is it a safe alternative?. *Surgery* 1985 Oct; 98(4): 851-60
8. Gilmour DG, Aitkenhead AR, Hothersall AD, Liedingham IM. The effect of hypovolemic of colonic bloodflow in dog. *Br J Surg* 1980 Jan; 67(1): 82-4
9. Flynn TC, Rowlands BJ, Gilliland M, Ward RE, Rischer RP. Hypotension-induced post-traumatic necrosis of the right colon. *Am J Surg* 1983 Dec; 146(6): 715-8
10. Adkins RB Jr, Zirkle PC, Waterhouse G. Penetrating colon trauma. *J Trauma* 1984 Jun; 24(6): 491-9
11. Matolo NM, Wolfman ER Jr. Primary repair of colonic injuries: a clinical evaluation. *J Trauma* 1977 Jul; 17(7): 554-6
12. Stone HH, Fabian TC. Management of perforating colon trauma. Randomization between primary closure and exteriorization. *Ann Surg* 1975 Oct; 190(4): 430-6
13. Nallathambi MN, Ivatury RR, Shah PM, Gaudino J, Stahl WM. Aggressive definitive management of penetrating colon injuries: 136 cases with 3.7 percent mortality. *J Trauma* 1984 Jun; 24(6): 500-5
14. Mulherin JL, Sanyers JL. Evaluation of three methods for managing penetrating colon injuries. *J Trauma* 1975 Jul; 15(7): 580-7