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The binomial formula given by Cox, Ross, and Rubinstein (1979) is a tool for valuating the call option price. It is well known that the price from binomial formula converges to the price from Black-Scholes formula which was given by Black, Scholes, and Merton (1973) as the number of periods ($n$) converges to infinity.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

In finance, an option is a derivative that represents a contract rights to buy (call) or to sell (put) the underlying asset by the writer to the holder. The buyer has to pay premium for the rights granted. Two types of option widely used in applications are the American option and the European option. The American option can be exercised at any time prior to expiration and the other option can be exercised only at expiration. In this work, we are interested in the European call option. We denote $S_0$ as the current stock price, $K$ as the strike price, $r$ as the risk-free rate of interest, $T$ as time to maturity and $\sigma$ as the volatility of the asset price.

A formula that has been widely used to calculate the theoretical option price in many stock markets is the Black–Scholes formula ($C_{BS}$). It was introduced by three economists, Black, Scholes and Merton ([5], 1973). This formula is given by

$$C_{BS} = S_0 \Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} \Phi(d_2), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log(S_0/K) + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}},$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{T}$$

and $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$ is the standard normal distribution function.
The binomial formula which is derived from the binomial model is another tool that is used to calculate the option price.

In the binomial model, we divide $T$ into $n$ periods. For $k = 0, 1, 2 \ldots, n$, let $S_k$ be the stock price at the end of $k^{th}$ period and assume that the current stock price $S_k$ either rises to $S_ku_B$ with probability $p$ or falls to $S_kd_B$ with probability $1 - p$ at the $(k + 1)^{th}$ period, where $0 < p < 1$ and $0 < d_B < 1 < e^{\frac{rT}{n}} < u_B$. Figure 1.1 (a) and Figure 1.1 (b) are examples of the binomial model where $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, respectively.

Figure 1.1: Binomial model

Cox, Ross and Rubinstein ([9], 1979) showed that the binomial formula $B_n$ for option price is

$$B_n = e^{-rT} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} \max \{ S_0u_B^k d_B^{n-k} - K, 0 \} ,$$

(1.2)

where

$$p = \frac{e^{\frac{rT}{n}} - d_B}{u_B - d_B}, \quad u_B = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_B = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}.$$  

(1.3)

It is well-known that the binomial formula converges to the Black–Scholes formula. Moreover, there are many researchers who found the rate of this convergence such as Leisen and Reimer ([17], 1996), Diener and Diener ([10], 2004), Heston and Zhou ([12], 2000), and Ratibenyakool and Neammanee ([20], 2019).
At the end of each period, the current stock price in the binomial model either rises or falls. In this work, we are interested in the model where the current stock price can steady at the end of period. That is the trinomial model. We assume that for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \), the current stock price \( S_k \) either rises to \( S_k u_T \) with probability \( p_u \), falls to \( S_k d_T \) with probability \( p_d \) or steadies at \( S_k \) with probability \( p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d \), where \( 0 < p_u, p_d, p_m < 1 \) and \( 0 < d_T < 1 < e^{\frac{c_T}{T}} < u_T \). The example of the trinomial model where \( n = 2 \) is shown in Figure 1.2.

Figure 1.2: Trinomial model for \( n = 2 \)

If \( u_T d_T \neq 1 \), then the pattern is quite complicated. We can simplify the trinomial model if we impose the condition \( u_T d_T = 1 \). The example is shown in Figure 1.3.

Figure 1.3: Trinomial model in case of \( u_T d_T = 1 \)

Assuming \( u_T d_T = 1 \), Boyle ([6], 1988) showed that the trinomial formula \( T_n \) is
given by

\[ T_n = e^{-rT} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k, l, n - k - l} p_k^k p_l^l d_m^{n-k-l} \max\{S_0 u_T^{k-l} - K, 0\}, \]  

(1.4)

where \( \binom{n}{k, l, n - k - l} = \frac{n!}{k! l! (n - k - l)!} \).

In 2007, Ahn and Song ([2]) considered the trinomial model in case of \( u_T = u_B^2 \), \( d_T = u^{-1}_T \), \( p_u = p^2 \) and \( p_d = (1 - p)^2 \), where \( u_B \) and \( p \) are defined in (1.3). They gave an idea that \( T_n = B_{2n} \) and converges to the Black–Scholes formula. We can see Figure 1.4 for \( n = 1 \).

![Figure 1.4: Trinomial model of Ahn and Song](image)

(a) 2-step binomial model  
(b) 1-step trinomial model

After that, Intarapanya and Neammanee ([14]) confirmed their conjecture by giving the rigorous proof in 2019. The result is stated in Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( T_n \) be defined in (1.4) with \( u_T = u_B^2 \), \( p_u = p^2 \) and \( p_d = (1 - p)^2 \), where \( u_B \) and \( p \) are defined in (1.3). Then,

\[ T_n = B_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS}, \]

where \( C_{BS} \) and \( B_n \) are defined in (1.1) and (1.2), respectively.

In 1988, Boyle ([6]) gave the trinomial model in case of

\[ u_T = e^{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}, \]  

(1.5)
\[ p_u = \frac{(V + M^2 - M) u_T - (M - 1)}{(u_T - 1) (u_T^2 - 1)}, \tag{1.6} \]
\[ p_d = \frac{(V + M^2 - M) u_T^2 - (M - 1) u_T^3}{(u_T - 1) (u_T^2 - 1)}, \tag{1.7} \]
and
\[ p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d, \tag{1.8} \]

where \( \lambda > 1 \),

\[ M = e^\frac{\sigma_T}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \left( e^{\frac{\sigma_T^2}{4}} - 1 \right) M^2 \]

and Entit et al. ([11], 2013) presented an example to show that the price of this formula is closed to Black–Scholes formula.

In this work, we give the rigorous proof of this conjecture by showing that the trinomial formula converges to the Black–Scholes formula. We also provide the rate \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \) of this convergence. Our result is stated in Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( T_n \) be defined in (1.4) with \( u_T, p_u, p_d, \) and \( p_m \) be defined in (1.5)–(1.8), respectively. If \( K \geq S_0 \), then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS}; \tag{1.9} \]

where \( C_{BS} \) is defined in (1.1). Moreover, the rate of this convergence is \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \). That is

\[ T_n = C_{BS} + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \]

To prove the theorem, we divide it into four parts. The first part is a basic knowledge of the formulas which is in Chapter 2. After that, we give the Berry-Esseen theorem for trinomial random vector in Chapter 3. The proof of (1.9) is presented in Chapter 4. For the last part, we show that the rate of the convergence is \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \) in Chapter 5.
CHAPTER II
APPROXIMATION OF OPTION PRICES

In this chapter, we will present the formulas used in approximating the option price. In the first section, we will present the Black-Scholes formula which has been widely used to calculate the theoretical option price in many stock markets. Next, we will present the binomial formula in the second section and the trinomial formula which extends the concept of the binomial formula in the last section.

2.1 The Black-Scholes formula

In this section, we will present the source of a shortened version of the Black-Scholes formula (see more details in [7] and [13]). The Black-Scholes formula was introduced by three economists Fischer Black, Myron Scholes and Robert Merton in 1973 ([5]). It has been used to calculate the option price. The model makes certain assumptions, including:

1. the option can only be exercised at expiration;
2. no dividends are paid out during the life of the option;
3. the market movements cannot be predicted;
4. there is no commissions;
5. the risk-free rate and volatility of the underlying are constant; and
6. the returns on the underlying are normally distributed.

Assume that the stock price \( S_t \) obeys a stochastic process of the form

\[
dS_t = \left( \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t,
\]
where \( t \geq 0 \) and \( W_t \) is a standard Brownian motion.

Let \( F(S_t, t) \) be the price of an option as a function of the underlying asset \( S(t) \), at time \( t \).

By Itô’s lemma, we have

\[
dF(S_t, t) = \left( \left( \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t}(S_t, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S_t^2}(S_t, t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(S_t, t) \right) dt \\
+ \sigma S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t}(S_t, t)dW_t.
\]

Let \( P \) be a value of the portfolio that is created by selling one option and buying \( \delta \) stocks. Then, \( P = F - \delta S_t \) which implies that

\[
dP = d(F - \delta S_t) \\
= \left( \left( \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S_t^2} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) dt + \sigma S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} dW_t \\
- \delta \left( \left( \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \right) \\
= \left( \left( \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) S_t \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} - \delta \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S_t^2} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) dt + \sigma S_t \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} - \delta \right) dW_t.
\]

Note that the coefficient of \( dW_t \) contains the factor \( \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} - \delta \). This equation can be simplified if we assume that \( \delta = \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} \). Then,

\[
dP = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S_t^2} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) dt.
\]

Since the return of the portfolio should be equal to the return of the riskless account, we have

\[
dP = rP dt.
\]

Then,

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S_t^2} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) dt = \left( F - S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t} \right) r dt.
\]
That is
\[ rF = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2 \partial^2 F}{2 \partial S_t^2} + rS_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S_t}. \]  \tag{2.1}

This equation is called the Black-Scholes equation.

If \( F \) is an option price, then the boundary conditions are

1. \( F(S_T, T) = \max\{S_T - K, 0\} \)
2. \( F(0, t) = 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \)
3. For each \( t \in [0, T] \), \( F(S_t, t) \sim S_t \), where \( S_t \to \infty \).

From these conditions, we can show that a solution of the Black-Scholes equation (2.1) is the Black–Scholes formula for an option price which is given by

\[ C_{BS} = S_0 \Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} \Phi(d_2), \]  \tag{2.2}

where
\[ d_1 = \frac{\log(S_0/K) + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}, \]
\[ d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{T}, \]
and \( \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \) is the standard normal distribution function (see [7] for more details).

**Example 2.1.** Let \( S_0, K, r, \sigma \) and \( T \) be defined in Chapter 1.

Assume that \( S_0 = $42, K = $40, r = 10\%, \sigma = 20\% \) and \( T = 0.5 \) years. Then,

\[ d_1 = \frac{\log(42/40) + (0.1 + 0.2^2/2) \times 0.5}{0.2\sqrt{0.5}} = 0.7693 \]

and
\[ d_2 = \frac{\log(42/40) + (0.1 - 0.2^2/2) \times 0.5}{0.2\sqrt{0.5}} = 0.6278. \]

Hence,
\[ C_{BS} = 43\Phi(0.7693) - 40e^{-0.1 \times 0.5}\Phi(0.6278) = $4.76. \]
2.2 The binomial formula

The binomial formula was given by Cox, Ross and Rubinstein ([9], 1979). They divide $T$ into $n$ periods, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $S_k$ be the stock price at the end of the $k^{th}$ period. Assume that for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, the current stock price $S_k$ either rises to $S_{k+1}u_B$ with probability $p$ or falls to $S_{k+1}d_B$ with probability $1 - p$ at the end of the $k + 1$ period, where $0 < p < 1$ and $0 < d_B < 1 < u_B$. That is

$$S_{k+1} = \begin{cases} S_{k+1}u_B & \text{with probability } p \\ S_{k+1}d_B & \text{with probability } 1 - p. \end{cases}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$.

From this fact, we see that

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} S_1u_B & \text{with probability } p \\ S_1d_B & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_2 = \begin{cases} S_2u_B & \text{with probability } p \\ S_2d_B & \text{with probability } 1 - p. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$S_2 = \begin{cases} S_0u_B^2 & \text{with probability } p^2 \\ S_0u_Bd_B & \text{with probability } 2p(1 - p) \\ S_0d_B^2 & \text{with probability } (1 - p)^2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, 2-step binomial formula is presented in Figure 2.1.

![Figure 2.1: 2-step binomial model](image-url)
In general case, the binomial formula satisfies the following diagram.

We can show that

\[ S_n = S_0 u^j d_B^{n-j} \] with probability \( \binom{n}{j} p^j (1 - p)^{n-j} \),

where \( \binom{n}{j} = \frac{n!}{(n-j)!j!} \) and \( j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Let \( C_n \) be an option price at the end of the \( n^{th} \) period. Then,

\[ C_n = \max \{ S_n - K, 0 \} \]

That is

\[ C_n = \max \{ S_0 u^j d_B^{n-j} - K, 0 \} \] with probability \( \binom{n}{j} p^j (1 - p)^{n-j} \),

for \( j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Let \( E[C_n] \) be the representative of the option price at the end of the \( n^{th} \) period and \( B_n \) be the current option price. Then, \( B_n = e^{-rT} E[C_n] \), i.e.,

\[ B_n = e^{-rT} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1 - p)^{n-j} \max \{ S_0 u^j d_B^{n-j} - K, 0 \} \] (2.3)
From the risk neutrality hypothesis, we know that in a risk-neutral economy, the expected yield from all assets equals the risk-free rate of interest. Therefore, for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \),

\[
E[S_{k+1} \mid S_k] = S_k e^{\frac{rT}{n}}
\]  

(2.4)

which implies that \( S_k u_B p + S_k d_B (1 - p) = S_k e^{\frac{rT}{n}} \). That is

\[
p = \frac{e^{\frac{rT}{n}} - d_B}{u_B - d_B}
\]  

(2.5)

(see [1], p.330 for more details).

If the binomial model is arbitrage free, then we need to assume that

\[0 < d_B < 1 < e^{\frac{rT}{n}} < u_B\]

which implies that \( 0 < p < 1 \).

From (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), Cox, Ross and Rubinstein ([9]) showed that

\[
B_n = S_0 \sum_{j=a}^{n} \binom{n}{j} q^j (1-q)^{n-j} - K e^{-rT} \sum_{j=a}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j},
\]  

(2.6)

where

\[
a = \min\{j \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \mid j \geq b\},
\]

\[
b = \frac{\log (K/S_0) - n \log d}{\log (u/d)}
\]

and

\[
q = pue^{-\frac{rT}{n}}.
\]

We observe that the formula \( p \) depends on \( u_B \) and \( d_B \). There are many researchers who gave the formula of \( u_B \) and \( d_B \). In 1976, Cox, Ross, and Rubinstein ([9]) obtained CRR formula by taking

\[
u_B = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_B = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}.
\]  

(2.7)
In 1983, Jarrow and Rudd ([15]) defined

\[ u_B = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_B = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{n}}. \]

After that, Tian ([28], 1993) gave

\[ u_B = e^{\frac{(r + \sigma^2)T}{n} \left( e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} + 1 + \sqrt{e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} + 2e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}-3} \right)} \]

and

\[ d_B = e^{\frac{(r + \sigma^2)T}{n} \left( e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} + 1 - \sqrt{e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} + 2e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}-3} \right)} \]

and Walsh ([30]) gave

\[ u_B = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{rT}{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_B = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{rT}{n}} \]

in 2003.

In general, Chang and Palmer ([8], 2007) defined \( u_B \) and \( d_B \) by

\[ u_B = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{\lambda_n \sigma^2 T}{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_B = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{\lambda_n \sigma^2 T}{n}}, \quad (2.8) \]

where \( \lambda_n \) is a general bounded sequence.

We observe that Chang and Palmer model generalized CRR model, Jarrow and Rudd model and Walsh model by setting

\[ \lambda_n = 0, \quad \lambda_n = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n = \frac{r}{\sigma^2}, \]

respectively.

For CRR formula, Cox, Ross and Rubinstein showed that the binomial formula converges to the Black–Scholes formula. Their result is stated in Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( B_n \) be defined in (2.6) with \( p, u_B \) and \( d_B \) are defined in (2.5) and (2.7). Then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n = C_{BS}. \]
After that, Heston and Zhou ([12], 2000) showed that the rate of this convergence is \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \) and Diener and Diener ([10], 2004) improved Heston and Zhou by adding an additional term in approximation \( B_n \). Their result is stated in Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( B_n \) be defined in (2.6) with \( p, u_B \) and \( d_B \) defined in (2.5) and (2.7). Assume that \( S_0 = 1 \) and \( T = 1 \). Then, for large \( n \), we have

\[
B_n = C_{BS} + \frac{e^{-\frac{d_B^2}{2}} A - 12\sigma^2 (\sigma_n^2 - 1)}{24\sigma \sqrt{2\pi} n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}\right),
\]

where \( \sigma_n = 1 - 2 \frac{\log(1/K) + n \log d}{\log(u/d)} \) and

\[
A = -\sigma^2 (6 + d_1^2 + d_2^2) + 4(d_1^2 - d_2^2) r - 12\sigma^2 \text{ with } \text{frac}[x] \text{ the fractional part of the real number } x.
\]

Leisen and Reimer ([17], 1996) showed that the rate of convergence in Jarrow and Rudd formula is \( \frac{1}{n} \). Their result is

\[
B_n = C_{BS} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).
\]

In general, Chang and Palmer showed that \( B_n \) converges to \( C_{BS} \) at the rate \( \frac{1}{n} \). Theorem 2.4 is their result.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( B_n \) be defined in (2.6) with \( p, u_B \) and \( d_B \) defined in (2.5) and (2.8). Then, for large \( n \), we have

\[
B_n = C_{BS} + \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{d_B^2}{2}} A_{2n} - 12\sigma^2 T (A_{1n}^2 - 1)}{24\sigma \sqrt{2\pi} T n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),
\]

where \( A_{1n} = 1 - 2 \frac{\log(S_0/K) + n \log d}{\log(u/d)} \) and

\[
A_{2n} = -\sigma^2 T (6 + d_1^2 + d_2^2) + 4T (d_1^2 - d_2^2) (r - \lambda_n \sigma^2) - 12T^2 (r - \lambda_n \sigma^2)^2.
\]
In 2018, Ratibenyakool and Neammanee ([20]) improve Theorem 2.4 by giving the better rate of convergence which is showed in Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $u_B$ and $d_B$ be defined in (2.8) and $0 < r, \sigma, T \leq 1$. For large $n$ such that

$$n \geq \max \left\{ 100T \frac{60}{\sigma^4}, \frac{1.2657 \max\{d_1^2, d_2^2\}}{\sigma^4}, 30 \max\{d_1^2, d_2^2\} \right\}$$

and $|r - \lambda_n \sigma^2| \leq 1$, we have

$$B_n = C_{BS} + \frac{S_0 e^{-d_1^2/2}}{24\sigma \sqrt{2\pi T}} \frac{A_{n2} - 12\sigma^2 T (A_{n1}^2 - 1) + A_{n3}}{n} + \frac{C_0(d_1, d_2, \sigma)}{n^{1/2}},$$

where $A_{n1}$ and $A_{n2}$ defined as in Theorem 2.4,

$$A_{n3} = -\sigma^2 T \left( 2d_1^2 + 2d_2^2 - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T} \right) + \left( 24T^2 + 4T \sqrt{T} \right) (r - \lambda_n \sigma^2)^2$$

$$+ 4T \left( 2\sigma d_1 + 4\sigma \sqrt{T} d_1 - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T} + 4\sigma^2 T \right) (r - \lambda_n \sigma^2),$$

$$|C_0(d_1, d_2, \sigma)| \leq S_0 r(d_1) + K r(d_2).$$

and $r(x) = \frac{1.7185|x|^3 + 19.3659}{\sigma^4} + 49.9851$.

### 2.3 The trinomial formula

The trinomial model is an extension of the binomial model. We know that the current stock price in the binomial formula either rises or falls at the end of each period. In the trinomial formula, we assume that for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, the current stock price $S_k$ either rises to $S_k u_T$ with probability $p_u$, falls to $S_k d_T$ with probability $p_d$ or steadies at $S_k$ with probability $p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d$, where $0 < p_u, p_d, p_m < 1$ and $0 < d_T < 1 < u_T$. That is

$$S_{k+1} = \begin{cases} S_k u_T & \text{with probability } p_u \\ S_k & \text{with probability } p_m \\ S_k d_T & \text{with probability } p_d \end{cases}$$
for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. Then

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} S_0u_T & \text{with probability } p_u \\ S_0 & \text{with probability } p_m \\ S_0d_T & \text{with probability } p_d \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_2 = \begin{cases} S_1u_T & \text{with probability } p_u \\ S_1 & \text{with probability } p_m \\ S_1d_T & \text{with probability } p_d \end{cases} = \begin{cases} S_0u_T \ & \text{with probability } p_u^2 \\ S_0u_T \ & \text{with probability } 2p_up_m \\ S_0 \ & \text{with probability } p_m^2 \\ S_0u_Td_T & \text{with probability } 2p_up_md \\ S_0d_T & \text{with probability } 2p_mp_d \\ S_1d_T & \text{with probability } p_d^2 \end{cases}$$

(2.9)

We note that if $u_Td_T \neq 1$, then the pattern is quite complicated as shown in the Figure 2.3.

![Figure 2.3: 2-step trinomial model in case of $u_Td_T \neq 1$](image)

If we impose the condition $u_Td_T = 1$, then (2.9) can be simplified into this equation
and the trinomial model in Figure 2.3 can be simplified into the trinomial model shown in Figure 2.4.

Figure 2.4: 2-step trinomial model in case of $u_Td_T = 1$

Under assumption $u_Td_T = 1$, we can show that

$$S_n = S_0u_T^{j-l}$$

with probability

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ j, l, n-j-l \end{array}\right) p_u^j p_d^{n-j-l}$$

where

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ j, l, n-j-l \end{array}\right) = \frac{n!}{j!(n-j-l)!}$$

for $j, l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $j + l \leq n$.

Let $E[\max \{S_n - K, 0\}]$ represent an option price at the end of the $n^{th}$ period.
and $T_n$ be the current option price. Then,

$$T_n = e^{-rT} E \left[ \max \{ S_n - K, 0 \} \right]$$

$$= e^{-rT} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} \left( \binom{n}{j,l,n-j-l} \right) p_j^d p_l^d p_{n-j-l}^m \max \left\{ S_0 u_{j-l}^T - K, 0 \right\}. \quad (2.10)$$

There are 2 approaches to define $p_u$, $p_d$ and $p_m$. In 2007, Ahn and Song ([2]) considered a trinomial formula from the binomial formula with $u_B$ and $d_B$ defined in (2.7). From the 2-step binomial model as depicted in Figure 2.5.

![Figure 2.5: Binomial Model for $n = 2$](image)

It is similar to the trinomial model with 1 period as depicted in Figure 2.6, where $p_u = p^2$, $p_d = (1 - p)^2$ and $p_m = 2p(1 - p)$ with $u_T = u_B^2$ and $d_T = d_B^2$.

![Figure 2.6: Trinomial Model for $n = 1$](image)

They showed by example that the value $T_n$ should be $B_{2n}$ and converges to the Black–Scholes formula.

After that, Intarapanya and Neammanee ([14]) confirm the conjecture of Ahn and Song by giving the rigorous proof in 2019. The result is stated in Theorem 2.6.
Theorem 2.6. Let $T_n$ be defined in (2.10) with $u_T = u_B^2$, $p_u = p^2$ and $p_d = (1-p)^2$, where $p$ and $u_B$ are defined in (2.5) and (2.7), respectively. Then

$$T_n = B_{2n} \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS},$$

where $C_{BS}$ and $B_n$ are defined in (2.2) and (2.6), respectively.

Another approach is given by Boyle ([6], 1988). He assumed that the expected yield from all assets equals the risk-free rate of interest and the second moment from all assets equals the volatility. That is for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$,

$$E[S_{k+1} | S_k] = S_k e^{\frac{r^T}{n}}$$
and

$$E[S_{k+1}^2 \mid S_k] = S_k e^{\frac{2r^T}{n}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

From these conditions, Boyle showed that

$$p_u = \frac{(V + M^2 - M) u_T - (M - 1)}{(u_T - 1) (u_T^2 - 1)}, \hspace{1cm} (2.12)$$
$$p_d = \frac{(V + M^2 - M) u_T^2 - (M - 1) u_T^3}{(u_T - 1) (u_T^2 - 1)}, \hspace{1cm} (2.13)$$

and

$$p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d,$$

where $M = e^{\frac{r^T}{n}}$ and $V = \left( e^{\frac{2r^T}{n}} - 1 \right) M^2$.

We observe that the formulas of $p_u, p_d$ and $p_m$ depend on $u_T$. Boyle gave an example to show that we can not use the rising rate $u_T = e^{\sigma \sqrt{T/n}}$ of Cox et al. If we let $\sigma = 0.2$, $r = 0.1$, $T = 1$ and $n = 20$, then $u_T = 1.045736$ which implies that $p_m = -0.0184 < 0$.

Boyle suggested to use

$$u_T = e^{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T/n}} \hspace{1cm} (2.14)$$

where $\lambda > 1$. In this case, we show in Lemma 5.1 that the values of $p_u, p_d$ and $p_m$ are between 0 and 1.
After that, Entit et al. ([11], 2013) gave an example to show that an option price from Boyle’s formula is closed to the price from Black–Scholes formula. Example 2.7 is an example of Entit et al.

**Example 2.7.** Let $S_0 = 100$, $K = 110$, $r = 0.05$, $\sigma = 0.3$ and $T = 1$. Table 2.2 presents the option prices from the trinomial formula of Boyle which is closed to the option prices from the Black–Scholes formula $C_{BS} = 10.0201$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>175</th>
<th>242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_n$</td>
<td>10.0274</td>
<td>10.0195</td>
<td>10.0263</td>
<td>10.0202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Option price from Boyle’s formula

From Example 2.7, Entit et al. gave the conjecture that the trinomial formula of Boyle should converge to the Black–Scholes formula. In our work, we give the rigorous proof of this conjecture.
CHAPTER III
BERRY-ESSEEN THEOREM FOR TRINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

In the proof that the binomial formula $B_n$ converges to the Black–Scholes formula $C_{BS}$, from (2.6), there are 2 terms of binomial probability, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{j=a}^{n} \binom{n}{j} q^j (1-q)^{n-j} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=a}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j}.
$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need to show that that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=a}^{n} \binom{n}{j} q^j (1-q)^{n-j} = \Phi(d_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=a}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} = \Phi(d_2).
$$

To do this, we need Berry-Esseen theorem for binomial distribution which is stated in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.1** ([4], 1941). Let $X$ be a binomial random variable with parameter $(n, q)$ and $Z$ be the standard normal random variable. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left| P \left( a \leq \frac{X - nq}{\sqrt{nq(1-q)}} \leq b \right) - P(a \leq Z \leq b) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}.
$$

To prove that the trinomial formula $T_n$ converges to the Black–Scholes formula $C_{BS}$, we also need the Berry-Esseen theorem for trinomial distribution. In this chapter, we will prove this theorem.

We will say that a random vector $(X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk})$ has a multinomial distribution with parameters $n$ and $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)$ such that $0 \leq p_1, \ldots, p_k \leq 1$ and
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{k} p_l = 1 \quad \text{if the joint probability mass function of } X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk} \text{ is}
\]

\[
P(X_{n1} = x_1, \ldots, X_{nk} = x_k) = \begin{cases} 
\binom{n}{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k} p_1^{x_1} \cdots p_k^{x_k}, & \text{when } \sum_{l=1}^{k} x_l = n \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where \(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\) are non-negative integers and \(\binom{n}{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k} = \frac{n!}{x_1!x_2! \cdots x_k!}\).

A random vector \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk})\) is called a trinomial random vector if \(k = 3\).

For a random vector \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^k\), the characteristic function of \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)\) is defined by

\[
E\left(e^{i(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) \cdot (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)}\right)
\]

where \((t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k\) and \((t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) \cdot (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j X_j\).

It is showed that the characteristic function of the multinomial random vector \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk})\) with parameters \(n\) and \((p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)\) is

\[
\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} p_le^{i t_l}\right)^n
\]

for \((t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k\). ([24], p.82 for more details).

The covariance matrix for a random vector \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)\) is \(\Sigma = [\sigma_{ij}]_{k \times k}\), where \(\sigma_{ij}\) is the covariance of \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) for \(i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k\).

We will give some properties of trinomial random vector in Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) be a trinomial random vector with parameters \(n\) and \((p_1, p_2, p_3)\). Then there exists a sequence of independent random vectors \(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) such that for each \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), \(Y_j = (Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3})\) and the random vectors \(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n\) satisfies the following conditions.
1. \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \overset{d}{=} (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \), where \( X \overset{d}{=} Y \) means that \( X \) and \( Y \) have the same distribution.

2. For each \( l = 1, 2, 3 \), \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{jl} \overset{d}{=} X_{nl} \).

3. For each \( l = 1, 2, 3 \), \( Y_{1l}, Y_{2l}, \ldots, Y_{nl} \) are independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter \( p_l \).

Proof. Let \( Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n \) be independent random vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that for each \( j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \),

\[
P (Y_j = (x_1, x_2, x_3)) = \begin{cases} 
  p_1 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 0, 0) \\
  p_2 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 1, 0) \\
  p_3 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 0, 1) \\
  0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

1. For \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), let \( \varphi_j \) and \( \varphi \) be the characteristic functions of \( Y_j \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \), respectively.

For \( (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), we have

\[
\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_j(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} E \left( e^{it_1 Y_j_1 + it_2 Y_j_2 + it_3 Y_j_3} \right)
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( e^{it_1 (1,0,0) \cdot (0,1,0) \cdot (0,0,1)} P ( (Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3}) = (1, 0, 0)) + e^{it_1 (1,0,0) \cdot (0,1,0) \cdot (0,0,1)} P ( (Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3}) = (0, 1, 0)) + e^{it_1 (1,0,0) \cdot (0,1,0) \cdot (0,0,1)} P ( (Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3}) = (0, 0, 1)) \right)
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{3} p_l e^{it_l} \right)
\]
From (3.1), we see that \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \) has a trinomial distribution with parameters \( n \) and \((p_1, p_2, p_3)\), i.e., \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \overset{d}{=} (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \).

2. Let \( x \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Since

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \overset{d}{=} (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}),
\]

we have

\[
P(X_{n1} \leq x) = \sum_{y=0}^{x} P(X_{n1} = y) = \sum_{y=0}^{x} \sum_{z=0}^{n-y} P\left( (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) = (y, z, n-y-z) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{y=0}^{x} \sum_{z=0}^{n-y} P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j = (y, z, n-y-z) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{y=0}^{x} \sum_{z=0}^{n-y} P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} = y, \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j2} = z, \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j3} = n-y-z \right)
\]

\[
\overset{d}{=} \sum_{y=0}^{x} P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} = y \right)
\]

\[
= P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} \leq x \right).
\]

Then,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} \overset{d}{=} X_{n1}.
\]

Similarly, we can show that \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{jl} \) and \( X_{nl} \) have the same distribution for \( l = 2, 3 \).
3. Let \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Then,

\[
P(Y_{11} \leq x_1, \ldots, Y_{n1} \leq x_n) = \sum_{y_1=0}^{x_1} \cdots \sum_{y_n=0}^{x_n} P(Y_{11} = y_1, \ldots, Y_{n1} = y_n) \quad (3.2)
\]

Since \( Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n \) are independent, we can show that

\[
P(Y_{11} = y_1, Y_{21} = y_2, \ldots, Y_{n1} = y_n)
\]

\[
= \sum_{z_1=0}^{n-y_1} \cdots \sum_{z_n=0}^{n-y_n} P(Y_1 = (y_1, z_1, n - y_1 - z_1), \ldots, Y_n = (y_n, z_n, n - y_n - z_n))
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{z_1=0}^{n-y_1} P(Y_1 = (y_1, z_1, n - y_1 - z_1)) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{z_n=0}^{n-y_n} P(Y_n = (y_n, z_n, n - y_n - z_n)) \right)
\]

\[
= P(Y_{11} = y_1) P(Y_{21} = y_2) \cdots P(Y_{n1} = y_n).
\]

From this fact and (3.2), we have

\[
P(Y_{11} \leq x_1, \ldots, Y_{n1} \leq x_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(Y_{j1} \leq x_j).
\]

Then, \( Y_{11}, Y_{21}, \ldots, Y_{n1} \) are independent.

We see that

\[
P(Y_{j1} = 1) = P((Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3}) = (1, 0, 0)) = p_1
\]

and

\[
P(Y_{j1} = 0) = P((Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3}) = (0, 1, 0)) + P((Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3}) = (0, 0, 1))
\]

\[
= p_2 + p_3
\]

\[
= 1 - p_1,
\]

which implies that \( Y_{j1} \) is a Bernoulli independent random variable with parameter \( p_1 \), for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Similarly, for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), we can show that \( Y_{j2} \) and \( Y_{j3} \) are independent
Bernoulli random variables with parameter \( p_2 \) and \( p_3 \), respectively.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) be a trinomial random vector with parameters \( n \) and \((p_1, p_2, p_3)\). Then

1. \( \text{Var}(X_{n_l}) = np_l(1 - p_l) \) for \( l = 1, 2, 3 \) and

2. the correlation between \( X_{n1} \) and \( X_{n2} \) is \( \rho_n = -\sqrt{\frac{p_1p_2}{(1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)}} \).

**Proof.** From Lemma 3.2, there exists a sequence of independent random vectors \( \{Y_j = (Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, Y_{j3})\}_{j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \overset{d}{=} (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \), \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{jl} \overset{d}{=} X_{nl} \) and \( Y_{j1}, Y_{j2}, \ldots, Y_{jn} \) are independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter \( p_l \), for \( l = 1, 2, 3 \).

1. Let \( l \in \{1, 2, 3\} \).

   We see that \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{jl} \) is a binomial random variable with parameter \((n, p_l)\).

   Since \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{jl} \overset{d}{=} X_{nl} \), for all \( l = 1, 2, 3 \), we have

   \[
   \text{Var}(X_{nl}) = \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{jl} \right) = np_l(1 - p_l).
   \]

2. Let \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Since \( P(Y_{j1} = 1, Y_{j2} = 1) = 0 \), we have

   \[
   EY_{j1}Y_{j2} = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in \{0, 1\}} y_1y_2 P(Y_{j1} = y_1, Y_{j2} = y_2) = 0. \tag{3.3}
   \]

Let \( j, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( j \neq l \) and \( x_1, x_2 \in \{0, 1\} \).

Since \( Y_j \) and \( Y_l \) are independent,

\[
P(Y_{j1} = x_1, Y_{l2} = x_2)
= \sum_{y_1=0}^{1-x_1} \sum_{y_2=0}^{1-x_2} P(Y_j = (x_1, y_1, 1 - x_1 - y_1), Y_l = (y_2, x_2, 1 - x_2 - y_2))
= \sum_{y_1=0}^{1-x_1} \sum_{y_2=0}^{1-x_2} \left( P(Y_j = (x_1, y_1, 1 - x_1 - y_1)) P(Y_l = (y_2, x_2, 1 - x_2 - y_2)) \right)
\]
which implies that $Y_{j1}$ and $Y_{l2}$ are independent.

Then,

$$EY_{j1}Y_{l2} = EY_{j1}EY_{l2} = p_1p_2.$$ 

From this fact and (3.3), we obtain

$$\text{Cov} (X_{n1}, X_{n2}) = \text{Cov} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1}, \sum_{l=1}^{n} Y_{l2} \right)$$

$$= E \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} - np_1 \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} Y_{l2} - np_2 \right)$$

$$= E \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} Y_{l2} \right) - np_1 \sum_{l=1}^{n} Y_{l2} - np_2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} + n^2 p_1 p_2$$

$$= n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} EY_{j1}Y_{l2} - np_1 \sum_{l=1}^{n} EY_{l2} - np_2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} EY_{j1} + n^2 p_1 p_2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} EY_{j1}Y_{l2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{n} EY_{j1}Y_{l2} - n^2 p_1 p_2 - n^2 p_1 p_2 + n^2 p_1 p_2$$

$$= n(n - 1)p_1p_2 - n^2 p_1 p_2$$

$$= -np_1p_2.$$ 

Hence

$$\rho_n = \frac{\text{Cov} (X_{n1}, X_{n2})}{\sqrt{\text{Var} (X_{n1}) \text{Var} (X_{n2})}} = -\sqrt{\frac{p_1p_2}{(1-p_1)(1-p_2)}}. \quad \square$$

A random vector $(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_k)$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ is the multivariate normal random vector in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with mean vector $\mu$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma = [\sigma_{ij}]_{k \times k}$ if its
The probability density function is defined by

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^k \sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \det(\Sigma)^{-1}(x-\mu)^T}, \]

for all \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k. \) In case of \( \mu = 0 \) and \( \Sigma = I_k, \) where \( 0 \) is the zero vector and \( I_k \) is the identity matrix, we say that \((Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_k)\) is the multivariate standard normal random vector. For a special case \( k = 2, \) \((Z_1, Z_2)\) is said to be a bivariate standard normal random vector and the probability density function \( f\) of \((Z_1, Z_2)\) is defined by \( f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-x_1^2-x_2^2}, \) for \((x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.\)

We know that the binomial distribution converges to the normal distribution. We can find the rate of this convergence by the Berry-Esseen Theorem for the binomial distribution which is stated in Theorem 3.1 (see Korolev and Shevtsova ([16], 2010), Shevtsova ([26], 2011), Shevtsova ([25], 2013), Schulz ([23], 2016), and Zolotukhin, Nagaev and Chebotarev ([31], 2018) for more details). Moreover, some authors improved the rate of this convergence from \( O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\) to \( O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\). For examples, see Uspensky ([29], 1937), Neammanee ([18], 2005), and Ratibenyakool and Neammanee ([21], 2017).

In order to prove the Berry-Esseen theorem for trinomial distribution in Theorem 3.7, we need following theorems.

**Theorem 3.4** ([19], 2018). Let \( W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n \) be a sequence of independent random vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^k \) and \((Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_k)\) be the multivariate standard normal random vector. Assume that \( EW_j = 0 \) for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and covariance matrix of \( \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \) is \( I_k. \) If \( A \) is a convex set in \( \mathbb{R}^k, \) then

\[ P\left( \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \in A \right) = P\left( (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_k) \in A \right) + \Delta_n, \]

where \( |\Delta_n| \leq \left( 42\sqrt{k} + 16 \right) \sum_{j=1}^n E\|W_j\|^3 \) and \( \|\cdot\| \) is the Euclidean norm.
Theorem 3.5. Let \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) be a trinomial random vector with parameters \(n\) and \((p_1, p_2, p_3)\) and \((Z_1, Z_2)\) be a bivariate standard normal random vector. For a convex subset \(A\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\), we define

\[ A^* = \{(x_1^*, x_2^*) \mid (x_1, x_2, n - x_1 - x_2) \in A\}, \quad (3.4) \]

where

\[ x_1^* = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}}, \quad (3.5) \]

\[ x_2^* = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n)}} - \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 - \rho_n)}}, \quad (3.6) \]

\(\rho_n\) is the correlation between \(X_{n1}\) and \(X_{n2}\). Then,

\[ P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A) = P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A^*) + \Delta_n(p_1, p_2), \]

where

\[ |\Delta_n(p_1, p_2)| \leq \frac{42\sqrt{2} + 16}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right). \quad (3.7) \]

Proof. Let \(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n\) be defined in Lemma 3.2.

For each \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), we define random variables \(W_{j1}\) and \(W_{j2}\) by

\[ W_{j1} = \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{np_2(1 - p_2)\sqrt{2}(1 + \rho_n)}, \quad (3.8) \]

\[ W_{j2} = \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n)}} - \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{np_2(1 - p_2)\sqrt{2}(1 - \rho_n)} \quad (3.9) \]

and define a random vector \(W_j\) by

\[ W_j = (W_{j1}, W_{j2}). \quad (3.10) \]

We see that \(W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n\) are independent and \(EW_{j1} = EW_{j2} = 0\), for all
\[ j = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \] Thus,
\[
E \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j = \left( E \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{j1}, E \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{j2} \right) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} EW_{j1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} EW_{j2} \right) = (0, 0). \quad (3.11)
\]

Let \( B = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (x_1, x_2, n - x_1 - x_2) \in A \} \). Then,
\[
A^* = \{ (x_1^*, x_2^*) \mid (x_1, x_2) \in B \}.
\]

We divide the proof into 3 steps as follows.

**Step 1.** We will show that
\[
P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A) = P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \in A^* \right).
\]

We note that \( P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A) = P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}) \in B) \) and for \((x_1, x_2) \in B\), \((x_1^*, x_2^*)\) which are defined by (3.5) and (3.6) is unique.

Hence, it is sufficient to prove that for all \((x_1, x_2) \in B\),
\[
P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}) = (x_1, x_2)) = P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j = (x_1^*, x_2^*) \right).
\]

Let \((x_1, x_2) \in B\).

Since \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j2}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j3} \right) \overset{d}{=} (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \), we have
\[
P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}) = (x_1, x_2))
= P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} = x_1, \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j2} = x_2 \right)
= P\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} = np_1, \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j2} = np_2 \right)
= P\left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j1} - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j2} - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)
= \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \cdot \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}}
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}, \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right).
\]
\[
(3.12)
\]
We note that for random variables \( X \) and \( Y \),

\[ X = x \quad \text{and} \quad Y = y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X + Y = x + y \quad \text{and} \quad X - Y = x - y. \]

Then,

\[ P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X + Y = x + y \quad \text{and} \quad X - Y = x - y). \]

From this fact and (3.12), we have

\[
P((X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}) = (x_1, x_2))
= P\left(\sqrt{2 (1 + \rho_n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{j1} = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1-p_1)}} + \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}\right)
= P\left(\sqrt{2 (1 - \rho_n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{j2} = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1-p_1)}} - \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}\right)
= P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j = (x_1^*, x_2^*)\right).
\]

Thus,

\[
P((X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}, X_{n_3}) \in A) = P((X_{n_1}, X_{n_2}) \in B) = P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \in A^*\right).
\]

**Step 2.** we will show that \( A^* \) is a convex set.

That is for all \( x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*), y^* = (y_1^*, y_2^*) \in A^* \),

\[ [x^*, y^*] = \{tx^* + (1-t)y^* \mid 0 < t < 1\} \subseteq A^*. \]

Let \( x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*), y^* = (y_1^*, y_2^*) \in A^*. \)
Then there exist \((x_1, x_2, n - x_1 - x_2)\) and \((y_1, y_2, n - y_1 - y_2)\) in \(A\) such that

\[
x_1^* = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}},
\]

\[
x_2^* = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n)}} - \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 - \rho_n)}},
\]

\[
y_1^* = \frac{y_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{y_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}},
\]

and

\[
y_2^* = \frac{y_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n)}} - \frac{y_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 - \rho_n)}}.
\]

Let \(0 < t < 1\). Then \(tx^* + (1 - t)y^* = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)\), where

\[
\tilde{u}_1 = t \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}} \right) + (1 - t) \left( \frac{y_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{y_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}},
\]

and

\[
\tilde{u}_2 = t \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} - \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}} \right) + (1 - t) \left( \frac{y_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n)}} - \frac{y_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 - \rho_n)}} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n)}} - \frac{tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 - \rho_n)}}.
\]

Let \(u_1 = tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1\) and \(u_2 = x_2 + (1 - t)y_2\). Then

\[
n - u_1 - u_2 = t(n - x_1 + x_2) + (1 - t)(n - y_1 + y_2).
\]

Since \(A\) is a convex set, we have

\[(u_1, u_2, n - u_1 - u_2) \in A.\]
Thus,

\[ u_1^* = \frac{u_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} + \frac{u_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}} = \tilde{u}_1 \]

and

\[ u_2^* = \frac{u_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} - \frac{u_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}} = \tilde{u}_2. \]

Hence, \( tx^* + (1 - t)y^* = (u_1^*, u_2^*) \in A^* \) which implies that \( A^* \) is a convex set.

**Step 3.** We will show that

\[ P\left( \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \in A^* \right) = P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A^*) + \Delta_n(p_1, p_2), \]

where \( \Delta_n(p_1, p_2) \) is defined in (3.7).

To apply Theorem 3.4 for \( \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \), where \( W_j \) is defined in (3.10), we have to show that the covariance matrix of \( \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \) is \( I_2 \).

For each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
EW_{j1}^2 &= \frac{1}{2(1 + \rho_n)} E\left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1 + \rho_n)} E\left( \frac{(Y_{j1} - p_1)^2}{np_1(1 - p_1)} + \frac{2(Y_{j1} - p_1)(Y_{j2} - p_2)}{np_1p_2(1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)} + \frac{(Y_{j2} - p_2)^2}{np_2(1 - p_2)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1 + \rho_n)} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2\rho_n}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then,

\[
\text{Var}\left( \sum_{j=1}^n W_{j1} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}(W_{j1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n EW_{j1}^2 = 1.
\]

Similarly, we can show that \( \text{Var}\left( \sum_{j=1}^n W_{j2} \right) = 1. \)

Let \( j, l \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). If \( j = l \), then
\[
E \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) \left( \frac{Y_{l1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} - \frac{Y_{l2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)
= E \left( \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \right)
= 1 \frac{n}{n} - 1 \frac{1}{n}
= 0.
\]

Suppose that \( j \neq l \). Then,
\[
E \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) \left( \frac{Y_{l1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} - \frac{Y_{l2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)
= E \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) E \left( \frac{Y_{l1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} - \frac{Y_{l2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)
= 0.
\]

Hence,
\[
EW_{ij}W_{il}
= \frac{E \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) \left( \frac{Y_{l1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} - \frac{Y_{l2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)}{2\sqrt{1 - \rho_n^2}}
= 0
\]

for all \( j, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). This implies that
\[
\text{Cov} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{j1}, \sum_{l=1}^{n} W_{l2} \right) = 0.
\]

Then, the covariance matrix of \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \) is \( I_2 \).
From this fact, Step 2 and (3.11), we can apply Theorem 3.4 for \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \). Then,

\[
P \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \in A^* \right) = P \left( (Z_1, Z_2) \in A^* \right) + \Delta_n(p_1, p_2),
\]

where \( |\Delta_n(p_1, p_2)| \leq (42\sqrt{2} + 16) \sum_{j=1}^{n} E \|(W_{j1}, W_{j2})\|^3 \).

To show (3.7), we have to show that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} E \|(W_{j1}, W_{j2})\|^3 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right).
\]

For \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), we observe that

\[
E \left| \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \right|^3 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^3p_1^4(1 - p_1)^2}} \left( (1 - p_1)^3 p_1 + p_1^3(1 - p_1) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{(1 - p_1)^2 + p_1^2}{n\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \leq \frac{1}{n\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}.
\]

Similarly, we can show that

\[
E \left| \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right|^3 \leq \frac{1}{n\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}}
\]

for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

From this fact, (3.8), (3.9) and Proposition 3.3 (2), we obtain \(-1 < \rho_n < 0\) and

\[
E \|(W_{j1}, W_{j2})\|^3
\]

\[
= E \left( W_{j1}^2 + W_{j2}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
\]

\[
= E \left[ \frac{1}{2(1 + \rho_n)} \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) \right]^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho_n)} \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} - \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8(1 + \rho_n)^3}} E \left[ \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
+ \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} - \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8(1 + \rho_n)^3}} E \left[ \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8(1 + \rho_n)^3}} E \left[ 2 \max \left\{ \left( \frac{Y_{j1} - p_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} \right)^2, \left( \frac{Y_{j2} - p_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^2 \right\} \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\max \left\{ \sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)} \right\}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^3 \\
\leq \frac{1}{n\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^3
\]

for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Hence,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} E \| (W_{j1}, W_{j2}) \|^3 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^3 \\
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right)^3.
\]

From Step 1 and Step 3, we have

\[
P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A) = P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A^*) + \Delta_n(p_1, p_2),
\]

where \( \Delta_n(p_1, p_2) \) is defined in (3.7). \( \Box \)
Proposition 3.6. For $0 \leq a_n \leq n$, let

$$A_n = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1, x_2 \geq 0, \ x_1 + x_2 \leq n \text{ and } x_1 - x_2 \geq a_n\} \quad (3.13)$$

and $A^*$ be defined in (3.4). Then,

$$P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A_n^*) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}(p_2)}^{b_{n2}(p_2, a_n)} \int_{b_{n}(p_1, p_2, a_n)}^{c_n(p_1, p_2) - c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2} e^{-\frac{u_1^2 + u_2^2}{2}} \, du_1 \, du_2,$$

where

$$b_{n1}(p_2) = \frac{-np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$b_{n2}(p_2, a_n) = \frac{n - a_n - 2np_2}{2\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$b_n(p_1, p_2, a_n) = \frac{np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n^2)}{a_n - np_1 + np_2}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$c_n(p_1, p_2) = \frac{n - np_1 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n^2)}}, \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$c_n(p_1, p_2) = \frac{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n^2)}}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)(1 - \rho_n^2)}}, \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Let $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by

$$g(u_1, u_2) = (g_1(u_1, u_2), g_2(u_1, u_2)),$$

where

$$g_1(u_1, u_2) = \frac{u_1 + u_2}{\sqrt{2(1 + \rho_n)}} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(u_1, u_2) = \frac{u_1 - u_2}{\sqrt{2(1 - \rho_n)}}.$$

Then,

$$P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A_n^*) = \int_{A_n^*} f(x_1^*, x_2^*) \, dx_1^* \, dx_2^* = \int_{g^{-1}(A_n^*)} f(g(u_1, u_2)) \, |D| \, du_1 \, du_2,$$

where $f(x_1^*, x_2^*) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}}$ is the probability density function of bivariate stan-
standard normal random vector \((Z_1, Z_2)\) and

\[
D = \det \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial g_1(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_1} & \frac{\partial g_1(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_2} \\
\frac{\partial g_2(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_1} & \frac{\partial g_2(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_2}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\rho_n)}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-\rho_n)}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\rho_n)}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-\rho_n)}}
\end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho_n^2}}
\]

(see [22] pp.153-154 for more details).

From (3.19) and the fact that

\[
(g_1(u_1, u_2))^2 + (g_2(u_1, u_2))^2 = \left(\frac{u_1 + u_2}{\sqrt{2(1+\rho_n)}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_1 - u_2}{\sqrt{2(1-\rho_n)}}\right)^2
\]

\[
= \frac{u_1^2 + 2u_1u_2 + u_2^2}{2(1+\rho_n)} + \frac{u_1^2 - 2u_1u_2 + u_2^2}{2(1-\rho_n)}
\]

\[
= \frac{(u_1^2 + 2u_1u_2 + u_2^2)(1-\rho_n) + (u_1^2 - 2u_1u_2 + u_2^2)(1+\rho_n)}{2(1+\rho_n)(1-\rho_n)}
\]

\[
= \frac{u_1^2 - 2\rho_n u_1 u_2 + u_2^2}{1-\rho_n^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{(u_1 - \rho_n u_2)^2 + (1-\rho_n^2) u_2^2}{1-\rho_n^2},
\]

we have

\[
P((Z_1, Z_2) \in A_n^*) = \int \int_{g^{-1}(A_n^*)} f(g(u_1, u_2)) |D| du_1 du_2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{1-\rho_n^2}} \int \int_{g^{-1}(A_n^*)} e^{-\frac{(g_1(u_1, u_2))^2 + (g_2(u_1, u_2))^2}{2(1-\rho_n^2)}} du_1 du_2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{1-\rho_n^2}} \int \int_{g^{-1}(A_n^*)} e^{-\frac{(u_1 - \rho_n u_2)^2 + (1-\rho_n^2) u_2^2}{2(1-\rho_n^2)}} du_1 du_2. \quad (3.20)
\]

We know that \((x_1^*, x_2^*) \in A_n^*\) if and only if there exists a unique \((x_1, x_2, n-x_1-x_2) \in A_n\) such that

\[
x_1^* = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1-p_1)(1+\rho_n)}} + \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1-p_2)(1+\rho_n)}}
\]

\[
= g_1 \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1-p_1)}} \right) \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}
\]
and

\[ x_2^* = \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{2np_1(1 - p_1)(1 + \rho_n)}} - \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{2np_2(1 - p_2)(1 + \rho_n)}} \]

\[ = g_2 \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}, \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right). \]

That is

\[ g \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}, \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) = (x_1^*, x_2^*). \]

Then,

\[ g^{-1}(A_n^*) = \left\{ \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}, \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) \mid (x_1, x_2, n - x_1 - x_2) \in A_n \right\}. \]

We know that for \((x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A_n, x_1, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 \leq n\) and \(x_2 - x_1 \leq -a_n\).

Then

\[ 0 \leq x_2 \leq \frac{n - a_n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 + a_n \leq x_1 \leq n - x_2. \]

We can show that if \(0 \leq x_2 \leq \frac{n - a_n}{2}\) and \(x_2 + a_n \leq x_1 \leq n - x_2\), then \(x_1, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 \leq n\) and \(x_2 - x_1 \leq -a_n\).

Thus,

\[ A_n = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, n - x_1 - x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid 0 \leq x_2 \leq \frac{n - a_n}{2}, \text{ and } a_n + x_2 \leq x_1 \leq n - x_2 \right\}. \]

Note that for \((u_1, u_2) = \left( \frac{x_1 - np_1}{\sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}}, \frac{x_2 - np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2)}} \right) \in g^{-1}(A_n^*),\) we have

\[ 0 \leq x_2 \leq \frac{n - a_n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n + x_2 \leq x_1 \leq n - x_2. \]
We can show that
\[
\frac{-np_2}{\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}} \leq u_2 \leq \frac{n - a_n - 2np_2}{2\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}, \quad \text{i.e., } b_{n1}(p_2) \leq u_2 \leq b_{n2}(p_2, a_n)
\]
and
\[
\frac{a_n - np_1 + np_2 + u_2\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}{\sqrt{np_1(1-p_1)}} \leq u_1 \leq \frac{n - np_1 - np_2 - u_2\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}{\sqrt{np_1(1-p_1)}}.
\]

From this fact and (3.20), we obtain
\[
P ((Z_1, Z_2) \in A_n^*) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{1 - \rho_n^2}} \int_{b_{n1}(p_2)}^{b_{n2}(p_2, a_n)} \int_{c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2}^{c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2 + e_n(p_1, p_2)u_2} e^{-\frac{(u_1 - \rho_n u_2)^2 + (u_2^2 + 1)}{2(1-\rho_n^2)}} du_1 du_2.
\]

We can show that
\[
\int_{a_n - np_1 + np_2 + u_2\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}}^{b_{n1}(p_2) - np_1 + np_2 + u_2\sqrt{np_2(1-p_2)}} \int_{c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2}^{c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2 + e_n(p_1, p_2)u_2} e^{-\frac{(u_1 - \rho_n u_2)^2 + (u_2^2 + 1)}{2(1-\rho_n^2)}} du_1 du_2
\]
\[
= \sqrt{1 - \rho_n^2} \int_{b_n(p_1, p_2, a_n) + c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2}^{b_n(p_1, p_2, a_n) + c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2 + e_n(p_1, p_2)u_2} e^{-\frac{u_1^2 + u_2^2}{2}} du_1 du_2.
\]

where \( b_n, c_n \) and \( e_n \) are defined in (3.16)-(3.18), respectively. Then,
\[
P ((Z_1, Z_2) \in A_n^*) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}(p_2)}^{b_{n2}(p_2, a_n)} \int_{b_n(p_1, p_2, a_n) + c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2}^{c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2 + e_n(p_1, p_2)u_2} e^{-\frac{u_1^2 + u_2^2}{2}} du_1 du_2.
\]

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( A_n \) be defined in (3.13) and \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) be a trinomial random vector with parameters \( n \) and \((p_1, p_2, p_3)\). Then
\[
P ((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}(p_2)}^{b_{n2}(p_2, a_n)} \int_{b_n(p_1, p_2, a_n) + c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2}^{c_n(p_1, p_2)u_2 + e_n(p_1, p_2)u_2} e^{-\frac{u_1^2 + u_2^2}{2}} du_1 du_2
\]
\[\quad + \Delta_n(p_1, p_2),\]
where \( b_{n1}(p_2) \), \( b_{n2}(p_2, a_n) \), \( b_n(p_1, p_2, a_n) \), \( c_n(p_1, p_2) \), \( e_n(p_1, p_2) \) and \( \Delta_n(p_1, p_2) \) are defined in (3.14)–(3.18) and (3.7), respectively.

Proof. To prove this Theorem, it is sufficient to show that \( A_n \) is a convex set.

Let \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \((x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in A_n\).

Then \( x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \), \( x_1 + x_2 \leq n \), \( y_1 + y_2 \leq n \), \( x_1 - x_2 \geq a_n \) and \( y_1 - y_2 \geq a_n \).

Let

\[
(u_1, u_2, u_3) = t(x_1, x_2, x_3) + (1 - t)(y_1, y_2, y_3)
\]

\[
= (tx_1 + (1 - t)y_1, tx_2 + (1 - t)y_2, tx_3 + (1 - t)y_3).
\]

We see that \( u_1, u_2 \geq 0 \).

Since \( x_1 + x_2 \leq n \) and \( y_1 + y_2 \leq n \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1 + u_2 &= t(x_1 + x_2) + (1 - t)(y_1 + y_2) \\
  &\leq tn + (1 - t)n = n
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1 - u_2 &= t(x_1 - x_2) + (1 - t)(y_1 - y_2) \\
  &\geq ta_n + (1 - t)a_n = a_n.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, \((u_1, u_2, u_3) \in A_n\) which implies that \( A_n \) is a convex set.

From this fact, Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, the proof is complete. \(\square\)
CHAPTER IV

CONVERGENCE OF TRINOMIAL FORMULA

In this chapter, we will show that the trinomial formula converges to the Black–Scholes formula, i.e., \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS} \). In addition, we give examples of option prices from both trinomial formula and Black–Scholes formula.

4.1 Convergence of trinomial formula

In the showing that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n = C_{BS} \), we write \( B_n \) in form (2.6) which have 2 terms of binomial probability. To prove \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS} \), we also need to write \( T_n \) in form which have 2 terms of trinomial probability as the lemma 4.1.

Let \( p_u \) and \( p_d \) be defined in (2.12) and (2.13) with \( u_T \) is defined in (2.14). Then,

\[
p_u = \frac{(V + M^2 - M) u_T - (M - 1)}{(u_T - 1)(u_T^2 - 1)} = \frac{\left( (e^{2 \sigma \sqrt{n}} - 1) M^2 + M^2 - M \right) u_T - (M - 1)}{u_T^3 - u_T^2 - u_T + 1} = \frac{M^2 e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}} - M}{u_T - 1} - (M - 1) u_T^{-2} \]

\[
= \left( e^{\frac{2 \sigma T}{n}} e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}} - e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}} \right) e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} - (e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}} - 1) e^{-2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} 
\]

\[
= e^{\frac{2 \sigma T + \lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} - e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} - e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} - e^{\frac{2 \lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} + e^{\frac{-2 \lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} \]

\[
= e^{\frac{2 \sigma T + \lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} - e^{\frac{-\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} + e^{\frac{-2 \lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n}} \] (4.1)

and

\[
p_d = \frac{(V + M^2 - M) u_T^2 - (M - 1) u_T^3}{(u_T - 1)(u_T^2 - 1)} = \frac{M^2 e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}} - M}{u_T - 1} - (M - 1) u_T \]

\[
= \frac{M^2 e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}} - M}{u_T - 1} - (M - 1) u_T \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= e^{2\nu T} e^{\sigma^2 T n} - e^{\nu T} - \left( e^{\nu T} - 1 \right) e^{\lambda^2 T n} \\
&= e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sqrt{n}}{n} T} - 1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 \sqrt{n}}{n} T} + e^{-\frac{2\lambda \sqrt{n}}{n} T} + e^{\frac{\lambda \sqrt{n}}{n} T} \\
&= e^{-\frac{\lambda \sqrt{n}}{n} T} - 1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda \sqrt{n}}{n} T} + e^{-\frac{2\lambda \sqrt{n}}{n} T}.
\end{align*}
\] (4.2)

We defined \( q_u, q_d \) and \( q_m \) by

\[ q_u = p_u u_T e^{-\frac{\nu T}{n}}, \] (4.3)

\[ q_d = p_d u_T^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu T}{n}}, \] (4.4)

and

\[ q_m = p_m e^{-\frac{\nu T}{n}}, \] (4.5)

where \( p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d \).

By (2.11), we have

\[ S_k p_u u_T + S_k p_d u_T^{-1} + S_k p_m = S_k e^{\frac{\nu T}{n}} \]

which implies that

\[ u_T p_u e^{-\frac{\nu T}{n}} + p_d u_T^{-1} e^{-\frac{\nu T}{n}} + p_m e^{-\frac{\nu T}{n}} = 1, \]

i.e., \( q_u + q_d + q_m = 1 \).

From this fact and the fact that \( q_u, q_d, q_m > 0 \), we obtain

\[ 0 < q_u, q_d, q_m < 1. \]

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) and \((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3})\) be trinomial random vectors with parameters \( n \) and \((q_u, q_d, q_m)\), and \( n \) and \((p_u, p_d, p_m)\), where \( p_u, p_d, q_u, q_d \) and \( q_m \) are defined in (4.1)–(4.5) and \( p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d \). Let \( T_n \) be defined in (2.10). Then

\[ T_n = S_0 P ( (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A_n ) - K e^{-\nu T} P ( (Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in A_n ), \]
where $A_n$ is defined in (3.13) with
\[ a_n = \frac{\sqrt{n} \log (K/S_0)}{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}. \] (4.6)

**Proof.** By (2.10), we note that
\[ T_n = e^{-rT} \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, n-j-l \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \max \left\{ S_0 u_{T}^{j-l} - K, 0 \right\} \right) \]
\[ = e^{-rT} \sum_{(j,l,k) \in B} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, k \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \max \left\{ S_0 u_{T}^{j-l} - K, 0 \right\} \right), \]
where $B = \{ (j, l, k) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid j, l, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } j + l + k = n \}.$

Since $u_T = e^{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}$, we observe that for $(j, l, k) \in B$,
\[ S_0 u_{T}^{j-l} - K \geq 0 \text{ if and only if } j - l \geq \frac{\log (K/S_0)}{\log u_T} = \frac{\sqrt{n} \log (K/S_0)}{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}} = a_n. \]

Then
\[ T_n = e^{-rT} \sum_{(j,l,k) \in C} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, k \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \max \left\{ S_0 u_{T}^{j-l} - K, 0 \right\} \right) \]
\[ = S_0 \sum_{(j,l,k) \in C} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, k \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \max \left\{ S_0 u_{T}^{j-l} - K, 0 \right\} \right) \]
\[ - K e^{-rT} \sum_{(j,l,k) \in C} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, k \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \right) \]
\[ = S_0 \sum_{(j,l,k) \in C} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, k \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \right) - K e^{-rT} \sum_{(j,l,k) \in C} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( j, l, k \right) p_{a_{j+l}}^{n-j-l} \right) \]
\[ = S_0 P \left( (X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in C \right) - K e^{-rT} P \left( (Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in C \right), \] (4.7)

where
\[ C = B \cap \{ (j, l, k) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid j - l \geq a_n \} \]
\[ = \{ (j, l, k) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid j, l, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, j + l + k = n \text{ and } j - l \geq a_n \}. \]
We know that for \((x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\) such that \(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \neq n\),

\[
P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)) = 0 = P((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)).
\]

By (3.13), we have

\[
A_n = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1, x_2 \geq 0, \ x_1 + x_2 \leq n \text{ and } x_1 - x_2 \geq a_n\}
\]

with \(a_n\) is defined in (4.6).

We can see that

\[
P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A_n) = P((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in C)
\]

and

\[
P((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in A_n) = P((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in C).
\]

From these facts and (4.7), the proof of this lemma is complete.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \(p_u\) and \(p_d\) be defined in (4.1) and (4.2). Then,

1. \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p_u = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\),

2. \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p_d = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\), and

3. \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) = - \frac{(2r - \sigma^2)\sqrt{T}}{2\lambda \sigma^2}\).

**Proof.** 1. Let \(x = \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}\). Then, by (4.1), we have

\[
p_u = \frac{e^{2rx^2 + \sigma^2 x^2 - \lambda x^2} - e^{rx^2 - \lambda x^2} - e^{rx^2 - 2\lambda x} + e^{-2\lambda x}}{e^{\lambda x} - 1 - e^{-\lambda x} + e^{-2\lambda x}} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, \tag{4.8}
\]

where

\[
f(x) = e^{2rx^2 + \sigma^2 x^2 - \lambda x^2} - e^{rx^2 - \lambda x^2} - e^{rx^2 - 2\lambda x} + e^{-2\lambda x} \tag{4.9}
\]

and

\[
g(x) = e^{\lambda x} - 1 - e^{-\lambda x} + e^{-2\lambda x}. \tag{4.10}
\]
We see that
\[ f'(x) = (4rx + 2\sigma^2 x - \lambda \sigma) e^{2rx^2 + \sigma^2 x^2 - \lambda \sigma x} - (2rx - \lambda \sigma) e^{rx^2 - \lambda \sigma x} \]
and
\[ g^{(k)}(x) = \lambda^k \sigma^k e^{\lambda \sigma x} - (-1)^k \lambda^k \sigma^k e^{-\lambda \sigma x} + (-2)^k \lambda^k \sigma^k e^{-2\lambda \sigma x}. \quad (4.11) \]

Note that \( \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \) and \( \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \) are in the form \( 0/0 \).

By the L’Hospital’s rule, we obtain
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f''(x)}{g''(x)}. \quad (4.12) \]

Since
\[ f''(x) = (4rx + 2\sigma^2 x - \lambda \sigma)^2 e^{2rx^2 + \sigma^2 x^2 - \lambda \sigma x} + (4r + 2\sigma^2) e^{2rx^2 + \sigma^2 x^2 - \lambda \sigma x} - (2rx - \lambda \sigma)^2 e^{rx^2 - \lambda \sigma x} - 2r e^{rx^2 - \lambda \sigma x} - (2rx - 2\lambda \sigma)^2 e^{rx^2 - 2\lambda \sigma x} \]
and (4.11), we have
\[ \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f''(x)}{g''(x)} = \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 + 4r + 2\sigma^2 - \lambda^2 \sigma^2 - 2r - 4\lambda^2 \sigma^2 - 2r + 4\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{4\lambda^2 \sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}. \]

From this fact and (4.12), we finish the proof.

2. By (4.2), we have
\[ p_d = \frac{e^{x^2 + \sigma^2 x^2} - e^{x^2} - e^{x^2 + \lambda \sigma x^2} + e^{\lambda \sigma x^2}}{e^{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} x^2} - 1 - e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} x^2} + e^{-2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} x^2}} = \frac{h(x)}{g(x)}, \quad (4.13) \]

where \( x = \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \),
\[ h(x) = e^{(2r+\sigma^2)x^2} - e^{rx^2} - e^{rx^2 + \lambda \sigma x} + e^{\lambda \sigma x}. \quad (4.14) \]
and \( g(x) \) is defined in (4.10).

By the same argument of 1., we can use the L’hôpital’s rule to show that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} p_d = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h''(x)}{g''(x)} = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}.
\]

3. From (4.8) and (4.13), we have

\[
\sqrt{n} \frac{p_d - p_u}{T} = \frac{h(x) - f(x)}{xg(x)},
\]

where \( f(x), g(x) \) and \( h(x) \) are defined in (4.9), (4.10) and (4.14) with \( x = \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \).

We can see that \( \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x) - f(x)}{xg(x)}, \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{(h(x) - f(x))'}{(xg(x))'} \) and \( \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{(h(x) - f(x))''}{(xg(x))''} \) are in the form \( \frac{0}{0} \). Hence,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x) - f(x)}{xg(x)}
\]

\[
= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h''(x) - f''(x)}{xg''(x) + 3g'(x)}
\]

\[
= \frac{(2r - \sigma^2) \sqrt{T}}{2\lambda \sigma}.
\]

\( \square \)

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( q_u \) and \( q_d \) be defined in (4.3) and (4.4). Then

1. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_u = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \).

2. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} q_d = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \).

3. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} (q_d - q_u) = -\frac{(2r + \sigma^2) \sqrt{T}}{2\lambda \sigma} \).

**Proof.** 1. We see that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\lambda x} \sqrt{n} e^{-\frac{rx}{n}} = 1.
\]
From this fact, (4.3) and lemma 4.2 (1.), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} q_u = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u u_T e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u e^{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}.
\]

2. Similar to 1., we can show that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} q_d = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_d e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}.
\]

3. By (2.14), (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain
\[
\sqrt{n} (q_d - q_u) = e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} \sqrt{n} \left( p_d u_T^{-1} - p_u u_T \right)
\]
\[
= u_T^{-1} e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) + p_u e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} \sqrt{n} \left( u_T^{-1} - u_T \right)
\]
\[
= e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) + p_u e^{-\frac{r_T}{n} - \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \sqrt{n} \left( 1 - e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \right).
\]

Note that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \left( 1 - e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi}}{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \) is in the form \( \frac{0}{0} \). We use the L’hopital’s rule to show that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \left( 1 - e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi}}{-\frac{1}{2} n^{-\frac{3}{2}}} = -\frac{2\lambda^2 \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\lambda^2}.
\]

From this fact and lemma 4.2 (1.) and (3.), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} p_u e^{-\frac{r_T}{n} - \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \sqrt{n} \left( 1 - e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \right) = \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u \right) \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \left( 1 - e^{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} \right) \right)
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \right) \left( -2\lambda^2 \sigma \sqrt{T} \right)
\]
\[
= -\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\lambda}
\]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n} \pi} e^{-\frac{r_T}{n}} \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) = -\frac{(2r - \sigma^2) \sqrt{T}}{2\lambda \sigma}.
\]
Hence,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \left( q_d - q_u \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{\pi}} \sqrt{n} \left( p_d - p_u \right) + \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u e^{-\frac{r^2}{\pi}} \sqrt{n} \left( e^{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{\pi}} - e^{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{\pi}} \right) = -\frac{(2 + \sigma^2) \sqrt{T}}{2\lambda \sigma}.
\]

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3})\) be trinomial random vector with parameters \(n\) and \((p_u, p_d, 1 - p_u - p_d)\), where \(p_u\) and \(q_d\) are defined in (4.1) and (4.2). Let \(A_n\) be defined in (3.13) with \(a_n\) is defined in (4.6). If \(K \geq S_0\), then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P \left( (Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in A_n \right) = \Phi \left( d_2 \right),
\]

where

\[
d_2 = \frac{\log(S_0/K) + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(K \geq S_0\), we have \(a_n \geq 0\). From Theorem 3.7, we have

\[
P \left( (Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in A_n \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}(p_d)}^{b_{n2}(p_d,a_n)} \int_{b_{n2}(p_u,p_d,a_n)}^{c_n(p_u,p_d)} x_1 x_2 e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 + \Delta_n(p_u, p_d),
\]

where

\[
\left| \Delta_n(p_u, p_d) \right| \leq \frac{\left( 42\sqrt{2} + 16 \right)}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \right),
\]

\(b_{n1}(p_d), b_{n2}(p_d, a_n), b_n(p_u, p_d, a_n), c_n(p_u, p_d)\) and \(e_n(p_u, p_d)\) be defined in (3.14)–(3.18) with \(\rho_n\) is the correlation between \(Y_{n1}\) and \(Y_{n2}\).

By Proposition 3.3 (2.), we have

\[
\rho_n = -\sqrt{\frac{p_u p_d}{(1 - p_u)(1 - p_d)}}.
\]
From Lemma 4.2, we see that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(42\sqrt{2} + 16)}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \right) = 0
\]

which implies that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n(p_u, p_d) = 0.
\]

Next, we will show that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_1(p_d)}^{b_2(p_d, a_n)} \int_{c_n(p_u, p_d) - c_n(p_u, p_d) + x_2}^{c_n(p_u, p_d) + c_n(p_u, p_d) + x_2} e^{-x_1^2 - x_2^2} \, dx_1 \, dx_2 = \Phi(d_2).
\]

For convenience, we write \(b_{n1}, b_{n2}, b_n, c_n\) and \(e_n\) instead of \(b_{n1}(p_d), b_{n2}(p_d, a_n), b_n(p_u, p_d, a_n), c_n(p_u, p_d)\) and \(e_n(p_u, p_d)\), respectively.

By lemma 4.2, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_{n1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-np_d}{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} = -\infty,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_{n2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \frac{a_n}{(1 - 2p_d)\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \frac{\log(K/S_0)}{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T p_d(1 - p_d)}} = \infty
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n = -\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{p_u p_d}{(1 - p_u)(1 - p_d)}} = \frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda^2}.
\]

From this fact and lemma 4.2, we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d) - \rho_n \sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)}}}{\sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)(1 - p_u^2)}}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{-2\lambda^2}{1 - 2\lambda^2} \right) \left( \frac{2\lambda^2 - 1}{\sqrt{(1 - 2\lambda^2)^2 - 1}} \right)
\]
\[
\lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1},
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n(1 - p_u - p_d)}{\sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)(1 - p_d^2)}} = \infty
\]

and \[
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_u(1 - p_u)(1 - p_d^2)}} \left( \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{n} (p_d - p_u) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\lambda \sqrt{2\lambda^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} \left( \frac{\log (K/S_0)}{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}} - \frac{(2r - a^2) \sqrt{T}}{2 \lambda \sigma} \right)
\]

\[
= - \frac{d_2 \sqrt{2\lambda^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}.
\]

Note that

\[
\int_{b_n + c_n x_2}^{e_n - c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx_1 = - \int_{-b_n - c_n x_2}^{-e_n - c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx_1
\]

\[
= - \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n + c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx_1 - \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n - c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx_1 \right)
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n + c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx_1 - \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n - c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx_1.
\]

Then,

\[
\int_{b_n}^{b_n^2} \int_{b_n + c_n x_2}^{e_n - c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 = R_1 - R_2,
\]

where

\[
R_1 = \int_{b_n}^{b_n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n + c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2
\]

and

\[
R_2 = \int_{b_n}^{b_n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n + c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2.
\]

We observe that

\[
0 \leq R_2 = \int_{b_n}^{b_n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} e^{-\frac{(x + c_n x_2)^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_2 dx \quad (x = x_1 - c_n x_2)
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} \int_{b_n}^{b_n^2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + 2c_n x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_2 dx
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} e^{\frac{x^2}{2(c_n^2 + 1)}} \left( x^2 \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} + \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right)^2 dx_2 dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(c_n^2 + 1)}} \left( x^2 \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} + \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right)^2 dx_2 dx \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(c_n^2 + 1)}} \left( x^2 \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} + \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right)^2 dx_2 dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(c_n^2 + 1)}} dx_2 dx \\
&= \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(c_n^2 + 1)}} dx_2 \\
&= \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2(c_n^2 + 1)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} du \\
&= 2\pi \Phi \left( \frac{-e_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right). \quad (4.24)
\end{align*}
\]

By (4.20) and (4.21), we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{e_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} = \infty \) which implies that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-e_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} = 0,
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} R_2 = 0 \quad (4.25)
\]

We can follow the arguments of (4.24) to show that

\[
R_1 \leq 2\pi \Phi \left( \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right). \quad (4.26)
\]

Note that

\[
R_1 = \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} e^{-\frac{(x-c_n x_2)^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_2 dx \\
= \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} e^{-\frac{(x-c_n x_2)^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_2 dx \\
= \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{x_2 = x_1 + c_n x_2}^{x_2} e^{-\frac{(x-c_n x_2)^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_2 dx_1.
\]
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} \int_{b_n}^{b_{n2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{c_n x^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{2}} \, dx \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} \int_{b_n}^{b_{n2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \left( \frac{x^2}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{c_n^2 + 1}} - \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right)^2 \, dx \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \int_{b_n}^{b_{n2}} e^{-\frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \, dx \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \int_{\gamma_n(x)}^{\beta_n(x)} e^{-\frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \, du \, dx \quad (u = x \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} - \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}})
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \int_{-\infty}^{-b_n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \int_{\gamma_n(x)}^{\beta_n(x)} e^{-\frac{c_n x^2}{2}} \, du \, dx,
\]  (4.27)

where

\[
\gamma_n(x) = b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} - \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}},
\]

and

\[
\beta_n(x) = b_{n2} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} - \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}.
\]

Since \( \rho_n = -\sqrt{\frac{P_0 P_d}{(1 - p_0)(1 - p_d)}} < 0 \), we have

\[
c_n = \sqrt{np_2(1 - p_2) - \rho_n \sqrt{np_1(1 - p_1)}} > 0
\]

which implies that

\[
\frac{b_{n1} \left( c_n^2 + 1 \right)}{2} \leq c_n x \leq -c_n b_n, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{b_{n1} \left( c_n^2 + 1 \right)}{2c_n} \leq x \leq -b_n.
\]

Then,

\[
\gamma_n(x) = b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} - \frac{c_n x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \leq b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} - \frac{b_{n1} \left( c_n^2 + 1 \right)}{2\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} = \frac{b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2} =: \gamma_n
\]

(4.28)
\[
\beta_n(x) = b_n2\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} - \frac{c_nx}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \geq b_n2\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} + \frac{c_n b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} =: \beta_n. \tag{4.29}
\]

Hence, \([\gamma_n, \beta_n] \subseteq [\gamma_n(x), \beta_n(x)]\) and

\[
\int_{\gamma_n(x)}^{\beta_n(x)} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \, du \geq \int_{\gamma_n}^{\beta_n} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \, du.
\]

From this fact and (4.27), we have

\[
R_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \left( \int_{-b_n}^{b_n} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2(\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1})}} \, du \right) \left( \int_{\gamma_n}^{\beta_n} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \, du \right)
= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) \left( \int_{-b_n}^{b_n} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}} \, du \right) \left( \int_{\gamma_n}^{\beta_n} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \, du \right)
= \left( \int_{-b_n}^{b_n} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}} \, dv \right) \left( \int_{\gamma_n}^{\beta_n} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} \, dv \right) \left( v = \frac{x}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right)
= 2\pi \left( \Phi \left( \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{b_n\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} \right) \right) (\Phi (\beta_n) - \Phi (\gamma_n)). \tag{4.30}
\]

By (4.18), (4.19), (4.20) and (4.22), we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_n1\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2} = -\infty,
\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n2\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} + \frac{c_n b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} = \infty,
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_n1\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} = -\infty
\]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} = d_2
\]

which imply that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi \left( \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) = \Phi (d_2).
\]
and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \Phi \left( \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{b_n \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} \right) \right) (\Phi (\beta_n) - \Phi (\gamma_n)) = \Phi (d_2).$$

From these facts, (4.26) and (4.30), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_1 = 2\pi \Phi (d_2).$$

Hence, by (4.23), (4.25) and (4.31),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{c_n - c_n u_2}^{c_n + c_n u_2} e^{-\frac{u_1^2 + u_2^2}{2}} \, du_1 \, du_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} R_1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} R_2 = \Phi (d_2).$$

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) be trinomial random vector with parameters \(n\) and \((q_u, q_d, q_m)\), where \(q_u, q_d\) and \(q_m\) are defined in (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5). Let \(A_n\) be defined in (3.13) with \(a_n\) is defined in (4.6). If \(K \geq S_0\), then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P ((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A_n) = \Phi (d_1),$$

where

$$d_1 = \log \left( \frac{S_0}{K} \right) + \frac{r + \sigma^2 T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}.$$

**Proof.** We can follow the arguments of the proof in lemma 4.4 and replace \(p_u\) and \(p_d\) by \(q_u\) and \(q_d\) to show that the conclusion of this lemma holds. \[ \square \]

Using lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.4-4.5, we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \(T_n\) be defined in (2.10). If \(K \geq S_0\), then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS},$$

where \(C_{BS}\) is defined in (2.2).
4.2 Numerical examples

In the following examples, we compare the option prices from trinomial and Black–Scholes formulas for different values of $n$.

**Example 4.7.** The option prices obtained from the trinomial formula with parameters $S_0 = $100, $K = $110, $r = 5\%$, $\sigma = 30\%$, $T = 1$ year and $\lambda = 1.3$ are plotted in Figure 4.1 for $n = 5, 10, 15, \ldots, 170$. The black solid line indicates the corresponding Black–Scholes option price. Table 4.1 shows some explicit trinomial option prices along with the Black–Scholes option price.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_n$</td>
<td>10.0236</td>
<td>10.0633</td>
<td>10.0293</td>
<td>10.0372</td>
<td>10.032</td>
<td>10.0215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{BS}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Option price using trinomial formula and Black–Scholes formula with $S = $100, $K = $110, $r = 5\%$, $\sigma = 30\%$, $T = 1$ year and $\lambda = 1.3$

![Figure 4.1: Option prices using trinomial formula and Black–Scholes formula with $S = $100, $K = $110, $r = 5\%$, $\sigma = 30\%$, $T = 1$ year and $\lambda = 1.3$](image)

**Example 4.8.** Similarly, the results of an example with parameters $S_0 = $110, $K = $120, $r = 4\%$, $\sigma = 40\%$, $T = 1$ year and $\lambda = 1.5$ are shown in Figure 4.2 and Table 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_n$</td>
<td>15.4346</td>
<td>15.3067</td>
<td>15.3256</td>
<td>15.3517</td>
<td>15.3553</td>
<td>15.3504</td>
<td>15.3422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{BS}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.3310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Option prices using trinomial formula and Black–Scholes formula with $S = $110, $K = $120, $r = 4\%$, $\sigma = 40\%$, $T = 1$ year and $\lambda = 1.5
Based on Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2, we can see that option prices from trinomial formula converges to the option price from the Black Scholes formula when $n$ increases which is in accordance with theorem 4.6.
CHAPTER V
RATE OF CONVERGENCE OF TRINOMIAL FORMULA

In chapter 4, we know that the trinomial formula converges to the Black–Scholes formula, i.e., \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = C_{BS} \). In this chapter, we will show that the rate of this convergence is \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \), i.e., \( T_n = C_{BS} + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \).

Before we prove the main result in Theorem 5.6, we need the following 4 lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( p_u \) and \( p_d \) be defined in (4.1) and (4.2). Then, for a large \( n \),

1. \( p_u = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + \frac{2r\sqrt{T} - \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \).
2. \( p_d = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{2r\sqrt{T} - \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \).

**Proof.** 1. For \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
e^{\frac{a}{n}} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{a}{n} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^k = 1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{2a + b^2}{2n} + \frac{6ab + b^3}{6n\sqrt{n}} + r_n,
\]

where

\[
r_n = \frac{a^2}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{a^3}{n^3} + \frac{3a^2b}{n^2 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{3ab^2}{n^2} \right) + \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{a}{n} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^k.
\]

It is easy to show that, for large \( n \), we have

\[
\left| \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{a}{n} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^k \right| \leq \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{a}{n} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|a|}{n} + \frac{|b|}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^k = O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right)
\]
which implies that

\[ |r_n| \leq \frac{a^2}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{a^3}{n^3} + \frac{3a^2b}{n^2\sqrt{n}} + \frac{3ab^2}{n^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) = O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right). \]

Hence,

\[ e^{\frac{2}{n} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}} = 1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{2a + b^2}{2n} + \frac{6ab + b^3}{6n\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right). \tag{5.1} \]

We can apply this fact to show that

\[ e^{\frac{(2r + \sigma^2)T}{n} + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} = 1 + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{(4r + 2\sigma^2 + \lambda^2 \sigma^2)T}{2n} + \frac{(12r + 6\sigma^2 + \lambda^2 \sigma^2)\lambda \sigma T \sqrt{T}}{6n\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right), \]

\[ e^{\frac{rT}{n} + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} = 1 + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{(2r + \lambda^2 \sigma^2)T}{2n} + \frac{(6r + \lambda^2 \sigma^2)\lambda \sigma T \sqrt{T}}{6n\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right), \]

\[ e^{\frac{2rT}{n} + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} = 1 + \frac{2r \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{k^2 \lambda^2 \sigma^2 T}{2n} + \frac{k^3 \lambda^3 \sigma^3 T \sqrt{T}}{6n\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \]

and

\[ e^{\frac{k \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} = 1 + \frac{k \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{k^2 \lambda^2 \sigma^2 T}{2n} + \frac{k^3 \lambda^3 \sigma^3 T \sqrt{T}}{6n\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \]

for all \( k \in \mathbb{R}. \)

From these facts and (4.1), we obtain

\[ e^{\frac{2k \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{2 \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} + 1 = \frac{2 \lambda^2 \sigma^2 T}{n} + \frac{3 \lambda^3 \sigma^3 T \sqrt{T}}{n \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \]

and

\[ p_u = \frac{e^{\frac{2rT + \sigma^2 T - \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{rT - \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{rT - 2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} + e^{\frac{-2 \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}}}{e^{\frac{(2r + \sigma^2)T + \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{2 \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} + 1 - e^{\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} + e^{\frac{-2 \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} + e^{\frac{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{rT}{n} + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{-\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + \frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}}} = \frac{3 \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{e^{\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}} - e^{\frac{\sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}}}} + 1. \]
\[
\sigma^2 T + \frac{\lambda \sigma T \sqrt{T} + \lambda \sigma^3 T \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2\lambda^2 \sigma^2 T + 3\lambda^2 \sigma^3 T \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + \frac{2r \sqrt{T} - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + \frac{2r \sqrt{T} - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right).
\]

2. Similarly to 1., we have

\[
p_d = \frac{e^{\frac{2r T + \sigma^2 T}{n}} - e^\frac{r T}{n} - e^\frac{T + \lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + e^\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n}}{e^\frac{2r \sqrt{T}}{n} - e^\frac{T}{n} + e^\frac{T}{n} + e^\frac{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} - e^\frac{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + e^\frac{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{n} + 1}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2 T + \frac{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T} \sqrt{T} - \lambda \sigma r T \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right)}{2\lambda^2 \sigma^2 T + 3\lambda^2 \sigma^3 T \sqrt{T}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{2r \sqrt{T} - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{2r \sqrt{T} - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right).
\]

**Remark 5.2.** We see that for large \( n \), \( p_u \) and \( p_d \) are between 0 and \( \frac{1}{2} \) which imply that \( p_m = 1 - p_u - p_d \in (0, 1) \).

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( q_u \) and \( q_d \) be defined in (4.3) and (4.4) with \( u_T \), \( p_u \) and \( p_d \) defined in (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14). Then, for a large \( n \),

1. \( q_u = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + \frac{2r \sqrt{T} + \sigma^2 \sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \).
2. \[ q_d = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{2r\sqrt{T} + \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \]

**Proof.** 1. We apply (5.1) to show that

\[
e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T} - rT}{n}} = 1 + \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).
\]

From this fact, (4.3) and lemma 5.1 (1.), we obtain

\[
q_u = p_u u_T e^{-\frac{rT}{n}} = e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T} - rT}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + \frac{2r\sqrt{T} + \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)
\]

\[= 1 + \frac{2r\sqrt{T} + \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).\]

2. Similarly to 1., we have

\[
q_d = p_d u_T e^{-\frac{rT}{n}} = e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T} - rT}{n}} p_d
\]

\[= \left(1 - \frac{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{2r\sqrt{T} + \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)
\]

\[= 1 + \frac{2r\sqrt{T} + \sigma^2\sqrt{T}}{4\lambda \sigma \sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3})\) be trinomial random vector with parameters \(n\) and \((p_u, p_d, 1 - p_u - p_d)\), where \(p_u\) and \(p_d\) are defined in (4.1) and (4.2). Let \(A_n\) be defined in (3.13) with \(a_n\) is defined in (4.6). If \(K \geq S_0\), then for large \(n\),

\[P \left( (Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in A_n \right) = \Phi(d_2) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),\]

where \(d_2\) is defined in (4.15).
Proof. From (4.16), we have

\[
P((Y_{n1}, Y_{n2}, Y_{n3}) \in A_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}(p_d)}^{b_{n2}(p_d, a_n)} \int_{b_{n1}(p_d, p_d, a_n)}^{e_n(p_u, p_d) - c_n(p_u, p_d)x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2
\]

\[+ \Delta_n(p_u, p_d),\]

where

\[
|\Delta_n(p_u, p_d)| \leq \frac{(42\sqrt{2} + 16)}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \right),
\]

\[b_{n1}(p_d), b_{n2}(p_d, a_n), b_n(p_u, p_d, a_n), c_n(p_u, p_d), e_n(p_u, p_d)\text{ and } \rho_n \text{ be defined in (3.14)–(3.18) and (4.17).}
\]

Note that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p_u\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} p_d\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n\) exist, then

\[
\frac{(42\sqrt{2} + 16)}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho_n)^3}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_u(1 - p_u)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \right) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)
\]

which implies that

\[
\Delta_n(p_u, p_d) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).
\]

Next, we will show that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_{n1}(p_d)}^{b_{n2}(p_d, a_n)} \int_{b_{n1}(p_u, p_d, a_n) + c_n(p_u, p_d)x_2}^{e_n(p_u, p_d) - c_n(p_u, p_d)x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 = \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).
\]

For convenience, we write \(b_{n1}, b_{n2}, b_n, c_n\text{ and } e_n\text{ instead of } b_{n1}(p_d), b_{n2}(p_d, a_n), b_n(p_u, p_d, a_n), c_n(p_u, p_d)\text{ and } e_n(p_u, p_d),\text{ respectively.}

By (4.23) and (4.24), we have

\[
\int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{b_n + c_n x_2}^{e_n - c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 = R_1 - R_2,
\]

(5.2)
where
\[
R_1 = \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2
\]
and
\[
0 \leq R_2 = \int_{b_{n1}}^{b_{n2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-e_n + c_n x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 \leq 2\pi \Phi \left( \frac{-e_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right). \tag{5.3}
\]
Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u, \lim_{n \to \infty} p_d \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n \) exist and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_u + \lim_{n \to \infty} p_d < 1 \), we have
\[
e_n = \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{1 - p_u - p_d}{\sqrt{p_u(1 - p_u)(1 - \rho_n^2)}} \right) \geq C_1 \sqrt{n}, \tag{5.4}
\]
for some positive constant \( C_1 \). We know that for \( t \in (-\infty, 0) \),
\[
\Phi(t) \leq -\frac{1}{t \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} \leq -\frac{1}{t},
\]
([27], p.26) which implies that
\[
\Phi(-C \sqrt{n}) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \tag{5.5}
\]
for all positive constant \( C \). By (5.4) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} e_n \) exists, we have
\[
\frac{-e_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \leq -C_2 \sqrt{n},
\]
for some positive constant \( C_2 \). From this fact, (5.3) and (5.5), we obtain
\[
0 \leq R_2 \leq 2\pi \Phi \left( \frac{-e_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) \leq 2\pi \Phi (\frac{-C_2 \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right),
\]
i.e.,
\[
R_2 = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \tag{5.6}
\]
By Lemma 5.1, we have

\[ p_u = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad p_d = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \]

which implies that

\[ p_u(1 - p_u) + 2p_up_d + p_d(1 - p_d) = p_u + p_d - (p_u - p_d)^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \quad (5.7) \]

We know that for \( \gamma, y \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[ (1 + y)^\gamma = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\gamma}{k} y^k, \quad (5.8) \]

where

\[ \binom{\gamma}{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1) \cdots (\gamma-k+1)}{k!} & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} \\
1 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases} \]

(see [3], p. 356 for more details). Hence, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[ \left| \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k+1} \right| = \left| \frac{-\frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2} - 1) \cdots (-\frac{1}{2} - k + 1)(-\frac{1}{2} - k)}{(k+1)!} \right| = \left| \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \right| \frac{\frac{1}{2} + k}{k+1} \leq \left| \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \right|. \]

Then, \( \left| \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \right| \leq \left| \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \) for \( k \geq 1 \).

From this fact, (5.7) and (5.8), we have

\[ (p_u + p_d - (p_u - p_d)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ = \lambda \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \left( O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right)^k \right) \]

\[ = \lambda \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \left( O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right)^k \right) \]

\[ = \lambda + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \quad (5.9) \]
Hence, by (5.9) and lemma 5.1,

\[
\begin{align*}
- \frac{b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_u + p_d - (p_u - p_d)^2}} \left( \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{n} (p_u - p_d) \right) \\
&= \left( \lambda + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \left( \frac{\log(S_0/K)}{\lambda \sigma \sqrt{T}} + \frac{2r \sqrt{T} - \sigma^2 \sqrt{T}}{2 \lambda \sigma} + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \\
&= d_2 + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

From this fact, we have

\[
\left| \int_{d_2}^{-\frac{b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \right| \leq \left| \frac{b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} + d_2 \right| = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)
\]

which implies that

\[
\Phi \left( \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{d_2}^{-\frac{b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \\
= \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).
\]

By (4.26), (4.30) and (5.10), we have

\[
R_1 \leq 2\pi \Phi \left( \frac{b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) = 2\pi \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)
\]

and

\[
R_1 \geq 2\pi \left( \Phi \left( \frac{-b_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{b_n1 \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} \right) \right) \left( \Phi (\beta_n) - \Phi (\gamma_n) \right) \\
= 2\pi \left( \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{b_n1 \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} \right) \right) \left( 1 - \Phi (-\beta_n) - \Phi (\gamma_n) \right),
\]

where $\gamma_n$ and $\beta_n$ are defined in (4.28) and (4.29).
Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_d$ exists, we have

$$b_{n1} = -\frac{np_d}{\sqrt{np_d(1 - p_d)}} \leq -C_3 \sqrt{n},$$

for some positive constant $C_3$.

From this fact and the fact that $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n$ exists, we obtain

$$\frac{b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} \leq -C_4 \sqrt{n}, \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$\gamma_n = \frac{b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2} \leq -C_5 \sqrt{n}, \tag{5.14}$$

for some positive constants $C_4$ and $C_5$.

By (5.5), (5.13) and (5.14), we have

$$\Phi \left( \frac{b_{n1} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}}{2c_n} \right) \leq \Phi (-C_4 \sqrt{n}) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\Phi (\gamma_n) \leq \Phi (-C_5 \sqrt{n}) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \tag{5.16}$$

Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_d$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n$ exist, we have

$$b_{n2} = \frac{(1 - 2pd) \sqrt{n}}{2\sqrt{pd(1 - p_d)}} \frac{\log (K/S_0)}{2\lambda \sigma \sqrt{TP_d(1 - p_d)}} \geq C_6 \sqrt{n},$$

and

$$\beta_n = b_{n2} \sqrt{c_n^2 + 1} + \frac{c_nb_n}{\sqrt{c_n^2 + 1}} \geq C_7 \sqrt{n},$$

for some positive constants $C_6$ and $C_7$, i.e., $-\beta_n \leq -C_7 \sqrt{n}$.

From this fact and (5.5), we have

$$\Phi (-\beta_n) \leq \Phi (-C_7 \sqrt{n}) = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \tag{5.17}$$
By (5.12) and (5.15)–(5.17), we obtain
\[ R_1 \geq 2\pi \left( \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) = 2\pi \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \] (5.18)

Hence, by (5.2), (5.6), (5.11) and (5.18),
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{b_2(p_d,a_n)}^{b_2(p_d,a_n)} \int_{b_1(p_u,p_d,a_n)+c_n(p_u,p_d)x_2}^{c_n(p_u,p_d)-c_n(p_u,p_d)x_2} e^{-\frac{x_1^2+x_2^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 = R_1 - R_2 = \Phi(d_2) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \]

Lemma 5.5. Let \((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3})\) be trinomial random vector with parameters \(n\) and \((q_u, q_d, q_m)\), where \(q_u, q_d\) and \(q_m\) are defined in (4.3)–(4.5). Let \(A_n\) be defined in (3.13) with \(a_n\) is defined in (4.6). If \(K \geq S_0\), then for large \(n\),
\[ P ((X_{n1}, X_{n2}, X_{n3}) \in A_n) = \Phi(d_1) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \]
where \(d_1\) is defined in (4.32).

Proof. We can follow the arguments of the proof in lemma 5.4 and replace \(p_u\) and \(p_d\) by \(q_u\) and \(q_d\) to show that the conclusion of this lemma holds. \(\Box\)

Using lemma 4.1 and lemma 5.4-5.5, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.6. Let \(T_n\) and \(C_{BS}\) defined in (2.10) and (2.2). If \(K \geq S_0\), then, for large \(n\),
\[ T_n = C_{BS} + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \]
CHAPTER VI
FUTURE RESEARCH

In this Chapter, we will give some idea of future research for trinomial formula. In case of binomial formula, Heston and Zhou ([12], 2000) showed that the rate of convergence is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. After that, Diener and Diener ([10]) improved the rate to $\frac{1}{n}$ in 2004. To do this, they used the Berry-Esseen theorem for binomial distribution with a collection term, i.e.,

$$P\left( \frac{X - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \leq x \right) = \Phi(x) + \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad (6.1)$$

where $X$ is a binomial random variable with parameter $(n, p)$, $C$ is a known constant and $\Phi$ is the standard normal distribution function.

In [10], when they applied (6.1) to $B_n$, the term of order $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ is zero. Hence,

$$B_n = C_{BS} + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

From Chapter 5, we know that the rate of convergence in case of trinomial formula is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. We have an idea to use the same technique of [10] to improve the rate of convergence to $\frac{1}{n}$. To prove this conjecture, we need the Berry-Esseen theorem for trinomial distribution with a correction term.
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